

Analysis of Leasing in Commercial Law and Their Aspects

Razina Ahmed

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id-razina.ahmed@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

A key component of business law is leasing, which establishes a framework for the contractual relationship between lessors and lessees. The numerous facets of leasing in commercial law are examined in this abstract, along with its definition, governing laws, and important factors. It examines each party's rights and duties and emphasises the need of strong lease agreements and conflict resolution procedures. The abstract also covers leasing's economic effects and how it helps businesses run more smoothly. Overall, it offers a thorough explanation of leasing as a crucial aspect of business law.

KEYWORDS

Business Operations, Commercial Law, Contractual Relationship, Legal Requirements, Lease Agreements, Resolution.

I. INTRODUCTION

A commercial lease agreement also known as a Agreement is a document and a contract signed between the owner the Lessor of real estate for lease and the party the Lessee wishing to lease take possession of the Property for business reasons. The terms and conditions that bind the parties to the transaction and are mutually agreed upon among themselves are documented in this Agreement. Such an agreement is often created by the lessor, which means it may be biased and work against the benefit and protection of the lessee. A Lessee should always read the terms and conditions of the Agreement before signing it, and they should be familiar with all the clauses and provisions as well as how they are interpreted. It is advised that the Lessee seek legal advice from real estate experts to ensure that they have a clear understanding of the terms and conditions outlined in the Agreement, protect their interests, and enjoy uninterrupted possession of the Property for the duration of the Agreement [1]–[3].

An example of a specific employment contract is the leasing of an asset. The lessor, a party, owns an asset and grants the lessee, a party, permission to use it in return for payment of rent. Importance. Leasing and hire purchase are significant finance sources.15 Equipment leasing and hire purchase made up more than 17% of Europe's total fixed capital creation in 2005.

Direct or indirect leasing is an option. A leasing firm serves as a middleman between a consumer and a manufacturer in indirect leasing. Direct leasing involves the manufacturer leasing the item to the lessee directly. Additionally, operational leasing and financial borrowing may be separated. Short-term finance includes operating leasing. Financial leasing involves the lessor usually a financial institution purchasing an asset and leasing it to the end user for a significant part of the assets life. Financial leasing and hire contracts for purchase are both founded on the same legal basis. A leased asset may be moveable such as vehicles, machineries, or equipment or immovable such as land, buildings, or commercial space. Immovables are naturally less leasable than moveable capital items. We won't go into detail here since leasing of immovable property is normally controlled by certain legal regulations [4], [5].

Ancillary services like installation or operation and maintenance O&M might be added to the leasing transaction to complete it. Benefits. Businesses lease for a variety of purposes.

1. **Flow of Funds:** Credit lines and current collateral are unaffected by leasing, a kind of finance. Leasing payments might also be scheduled to coincide with future cash flow pay as you go.
2. **Tax:** Some businesses may gain tax-wise from leasing. The subject of taxes is not covered in this book.
3. **The Balance Sheet:** An example of off-balance-sheet finance is operating leasing.
4. **Costs.** In unusual circumstances, leasing may be less costly than debt financing for a company that, because of a poor credit rating, is either unable to borrow money or can only do so at a high cost.
5. **Flexibility and Convenience:** Leasing could be necessary for operational reasons. For instance, leasing may lessen the danger of equipment becoming outdated technologically.

A contract between the lessor and lessee is the foundation of any lease. Although the lessee is allowed to utilize the asset, the lessor will continue to own it. This makes it possible for the parties to reach a consensus about the distribution of risks related to the assets residual value. On the other side, the parties will need to decide who the asset will belong to if the contract is terminated. Will it be given back to the lessor or left with the lessee? A functional counterpart to security is leasing. If the lessee has financial difficulty, the lessor wants to be sure that it can still enforce its ownership rights. Because a lessor's proprietary rights are often not acknowledged in Europe as a separate category of proprietary rights on their own, general principles for the enforcement of proprietary rights will typically apply.¹⁹ For instance, a transactions legal categorization as a true sale or a assignment by way of security may have an impact on whether the lessors property rights may be enforced against third parties.

Most of the time, because the leased asset is the lessor's property, further security is not required. In the event of repeated payment failure as specified in the contract, the lessor will see to it that the asset may be taken away and seized. Repossession of the asset may be restricted, particularly in consumer contracts and contracts that are classified as hire-purchase contracts depending on the jurisdiction. Regarding repossession, the party's interests could be at odds. If there is a major payment default or contract violation, the lessor will make sure that the asset may be repossessed. On the other side, the lessee is responsible for making sure that valuable property cannot be quickly repossessed. Sometimes the parties may concur that the lessor will provide services throughout the lease duration to guarantee that the asset performs as agreed. This would also reduce the likelihood of non-payment for the lessor. This can be a technique for the lessee to guarantee that the asset will meet the predetermined requirements for the duration of the lease. The distribution of risk for the asset, liability for normal wear and tear, the lessees obligation to take reasonable care of the asset, and responsibility for maintenance are often things that the parties may agree on.

II. DISCUSSION

However, there are a few international agreements in this field²², most notably the 1988 Undercoat Convention on International Financial Leasing also known as the Ottawa Convention and the 2001 Convention on Worldwide Interests in Mobile Equipment also known as the Cape Town Convention with its associated Aircraft Equipment Protocol. A select few nations have ratified the Ottawa Convention and the Cape Town Convention. The Cape Town Convention and the accompanying Protocol collectively the Convention were created to make it easier to finance and lease expensive mobile equipment using an asset-based model. In accordance with the Convention, secured loans, sales with a reservation of title, and leases may be used to finance interests in aviation objects, railway rolling stock, and space assets on a global scale.

Both an electronic international register for the registration of international interests and an international interest that is acknowledged in all contracting nations were established by the Convention. The Cape Town Convention supersedes the 1933 Rome Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to the Precautionary Attachment of Aircraft and the 1948 Geneva Convention on the International Recognition of Rights in Aircraft with regard to aircraft and aircraft objects. In terms of aircraft items, the Convention also supersedes the 1988 Undercoat Convention on International Financial Leasing [6]–[8].

Operating Leasing

Short-term finance includes operating leasing. An operational lease is a partnership between two parties. The lessee utilizes the asset in the course of its business, while the lessor is often a manufacturer or a rental firm. Operating leases do not provide ownership of the items to the person using them, in contrast to sales of commodities. Usually, the lessor wishes to lease the asset to a new client the lessee won't take ownership of the item when the lease expires. The benefits of operational leasing. The company may, in theory, employ operational leasing for financial

purposes. Operating leases are a kind of off-balance-sheet financing that enable businesses to generate greater asset returns than would otherwise be achievable.

However, operating leasing is often used by the company for operational needs. A The choice of whether to purchase the asset outright or lease it is an operational choice that would be based on which of the two options would be more affordable. a Operating leases often include short-term agreements. The company could choose to employ a resource that is only infrequently needed. c Operating leasing also enables flexible equipment updates and transfers to the lessor the risks connected with owning technologically sophisticated assets. In most cases, the lessor agrees to do any required maintenance. According to the law, unless the asset has been lost or destroyed due to the lessee's fault, the lessor, who is the assets owner, normally bears the risk for the asset.

Background laws in law. Operating leases are subject to the same legal guidelines that govern rental agreements. These guidelines could, for instance, provide that, unless the parties have agreed differently, any party may end the contract at any time. The lessor assumes the commercial risk associated with investing in the leased assets if the parties agree that the lessee has the right to cancel the lease subject to a certain period and that the lessor is responsible for maintaining the leased assets service companies. Operating leasing services are offered by several companies. Both rental businesses and manufacturers may provide operating lease services as a means of product distribution.

Financial Leasing

The operational leases goal is fundamentally different from that of the financing lease.⁶ The financing lease is a financial instrument, as opposed to the operational lease, which is a temporary rental of items. Legally speaking, financial leasing is more difficult than operational leasing. A financial institution that purchases an asset and then leases it to the user is the lessor in financial leasing. In contrast to Paying rent is the lessee. The rent is established such that the lessor will be able to recover the assets initial investment as well as interest. Additionally, the lessee is in charge of asset upkeep rather than the lessor as is the case with operational leasing. Financial leases are really just long-term, capital-repayable loans secured by the asset under consideration. However, under financial leases, the financial institution is the actual owner of the asset, as opposed to typical term loans where the financial institution may only gain a security interest in the item.

Typically, a prospective user chooses an item that it wishes to buy and haggles over the conditions of the deal. The prospective user then looks for a financial institution to purchase it; after which they lease it from the purchaser/lessor. Operational leasing, financial leasing comprises three parties: the lessee the user for the asset, the buyer/lessor a manufacturer or retailer, and the lessee. The length of the lease is considerable. For a significant period of the assets existence, the lessor leases the asset to the user. The projected operating life of the equipment is often the minimum lease term. There would then be only one lessee.

the benefits of financial leasing. The company has a variety of uses for financial leasing. First, the corporation may not have the means to buy a significant asset, or if it has, it could have a more lucrative use for the money. This is how they have been summed up in England. When investment allowances are available or the lessors marginal tax rate is greater than the lessees, leasing may also provide tax benefits since less tax would be owed than would have been the case with a purchase. Third, leasing permits flexible equipment updating and passes to the lessor the risks related to technologically advancing industries. Similar to this, if a business cannot accurately determine asset depreciation rates, risks might be transferred to the lessor. Finally, a corporation may report a better return on assets in its accounts than should have been feasible had the item been acquired if leased assets can be kept off the balance sheet for instance, by classifying them as operational leases.

The lessee may safeguard its liquidity using financial leasing. Lease payments may be matched pay as you the lessee may use financial leasing to protect its liquidity. The corporation may match pay as you earn lease payments with asset income. The firm may sometimes deduct payments for leases, or at least a part of them, from its taxable income. Financial leasing may not affect the lessees balance sheet if the item is recognized as a lessor asset. earn with asset revenue by the company. Lease payments, or at least a portion of them, may sometimes be deductible by the business from its taxable revenue. If the asset is acknowledged as a lessor asset, financial leasing may be balance sheet neutral for the lessee.

Hire-Purchase Agreements

In a hire-purchase agreement, the seller or hirer retains ownership of the relevant item until all payments are received in full at the conclusion of the agreed-upon hire term. Financial leasing and hire-purchase are similar in the majority of the terms, with the only exception that the parties are aware from the outset that the hire/buyer would acquire ownership of the asset upon the completion of all payments required by the agreement. When all payments have been completed, title to the things may then transfer automatically⁵² or the hirer may have been offered the opportunity to buy the rented items at a certain time.

The benefits of using hire-purchase. Finance that uses hire-purchase is often costlier. The advantage of hire purchase is that equipment provided by the hire purchase firm may be utilised right away by the hiree for a fraction of the purchase price instead of having to pay the whole amount up front. After making a series of monthly payments including interest, the hiree will become the owner of the property. If the assets worth stays greater than the outstanding payback amount for the term of the agreement, the hire-purchase firm will continue to be in a safe position with respect to the hirees bankruptcy. Hire-purchase contracts may be subject to certain legal restrictions.⁵ The Consumer Credit Directive protects consumers.

In certain countries, a lease may be compared to a hire-purchase arrangement since it is made plain from the start that the hiree/buyer will acquire ownership of the item after all payments are made. A financing lease that includes a clause allowing the lessee to buy the equipment may convert the deal into a hire-purchase arrangement. The party's rights can be impacted by this. For instance, according to Finnish hire purchase legislation, the buyer may only demand payment of the cash price, not interest or fees, provided the latter have been revealed to the buyer in a written agreement that complies with specific formal criteria.⁵ and some conditions apply to the objects repossession.

Sale and Lease-back

Release of money is the main goal of a sale and leaseback deal. By selling existing assets to a financial intermediary in a sale and lease-back transaction, a company may obtain money while still maintaining use of those assets. As a result, the company just needs to pay leasing fees, which are often tax deductible. Rent payment obligations may not always be more important than liquidity availability. It is possible to sell assets and lease them back. In real estate financing, sale and lease-back deals are often employed. International bank HSBC sold its London headquarters at Canary Wharf to a Spanish real estate family for £1.1 billion in 2007. The buyer got rental revenue from the Ubs building after the transaction. Numerous Premier League football players playing rights are controlled by Barclays Bank plcs leasing division rather than the club in question. Famous England defender Rio Ferdinand was leased by Leeds United FC from Barclays Bank when he was a member of the team.

Contracts. Essentially, a sale and leaseback deal involves two parties. It comprises of a leasing contract and a contract for the sale of the asset. The buyer will become the new owner of the asset. The buyer is shielded from loss by the ownership transfer. The lease resembles a loan secured by the relevant asset if the transfer of ownership is legal and enforceable in court. The sale and leaseback process is subject to a number of special legal restrictions. The most crucial ones are a what may be sold b what can be leased c if the transfer of title reduces the counterparty risk to the buyer d the legality and enforcement of relevant provisions and e accounting standards.

There is a chance that the ownership transfer will not be legal or enforceable against other parties. The two most significant scenarios in which this may occur are when the transaction is seen as a true sale as opposed to a mere assignment by way of security and when a third partys previous rights will prevail. More than 150 German towns that sold facilities to US banks between 1996 and 2003 utilized LILo transactions.⁶⁸ Bochum, one of the municipalities, was mentioned. The city of Bochum traded the use of its sewage system for 99 years with a US investor for a sum of €500 million payable via a trust. It subsequently made an immediate profit of €20 million by leasing the network back to a bank for a sum of merely €480 million. The agreement gave the US investor the chance to deduct international investments from taxes under US law. This was due to the fact that the rentals were treated as US investor assets under US tax law.⁶

due to a number of legal and business hazards brought on by the lengthy lease term. The bank demanded a covenant stating that the municipality must remain open and maintain in good condition any facilities that had been leased in and out according to US tax legislation. The municipality would probably incur higher expenditures as a result.

Furthermore, the municipality can decide that such facilities are unnecessary in the future & would prefer that they be shut down. The municipality was often in charge of a danger of unfavourable change. Due to the lengthy lease term, expenditures were projected to rise even more as a result. The danger of a legal change was also significant. Under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, new cross-border LILO contracts were prohibited in the US. Even earlier cross-border LILO transactions were disregarded by the IRS as abusive beginning in 2005, which eliminated the tax advantages that supported previous transactions.

III. CONCLUSION

Leasing is a crucial component of commercial law that allows companies to effectively buy and use assets. Effective dispute resolution methods, adherence to regulatory standards, and clear and well-written lease agreements are essential for guaranteeing the smooth functioning of leasing arrangements. Businesses may use this financial instrument to support their development and operational goals within the constraints of commercial law by comprehending the nuances of leasing and addressing possible hazards.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Modan en R. Hassan, The possible inclusion of legal provisions in Islamic banking and finance: The case study of the Mozambican regulatory framework, *Int. J. Law Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJLMA-10-2016-0097.
- [2] B. Saiti, *Islamic banking and finance in South-East Asia: Its development and future*, 3rd edition, Intellect. Discourse, 2015.
- [3] C. Modan en R. Hassan, The possible inclusion of legal provisions in Islamic banking and finance, *Int. J. Law Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/ijlma-10-2016-0097.
- [4] M. Z. Mubarrak, A. Sulistiyono, en I. G. A. K. R. Handayani, Implementation of Compliance Obligations for Public Flats Provision by The Commercial Flats Construction Actors, *Int. J. Multicult. Multireligious Underst.*, 2020, doi: 10.18415/ijmmu.v7i2.1466.
- [5] D. C. Levin, V. M. Rao, en A. Kaye, Turf Wars In Radiology: Recent Actions Against Self-Referral by State Governments, Commercial Payers, and Medicare-Hope Is on the Horizon, *Journal of the American College of Radiology*. 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2008.02.019.
- [6] S. Ngoun, *Linkage between Formal and Informal Financial Sectors in Cambodia*, *La Soc. la Soc.*, 2011.
- [7] K.-S. Kim, A Study on Koreans Right to Request an Increase or Decrease in Rent under the Commercial Building Lease Protection Act, *Kyung Hee Law J.*, 2021, doi: 10.15539/khlj.56.1.12.
- [8] J. Chu, K. Young, D. Phiri, en Z. L. Alliance, *Large-Scale Land Acquisitions, Displacement and Resettlement in Zambia*, *Policy Br.*, 2015.