International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Special Issue-9, September-2022
Innovative Projects 2022
Organized by Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Particular Aspects of Securitization: Risks, Benefits and Regulation

Mathew Sinu Simon,
Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id- mathew.simon@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

In the financial process known as securitization, financial assets are gathered together and repackaged into marketable securities. The specifics of securitization are examined in this abstract, with an emphasis on its definition, significant players, advantages, and possible drawbacks. It looks at the several asset classes that may be securitized and emphasises the function of rating and ratings agencies in the securitization process. The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. Asset-rich businesses may access the capital markets for lower-cost finance via the use of securitization, a financing strategy. It may be seen from the firm's standpoint as a more complex version of discounting or factoring debts.

KEYWORDS

Financial Assets, Credit Enhancements, Rating Agencies, Risk Management, Securitization, Transparency.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term securitization refers to a process whereby the income stream from a segregated pool of receivables or other income-producing assets is repackaged into tradeable securities issued to investors rather than being assigned as security, sold to individual financiers, or held by the firm to generate an income flow. Over the course of the securities existence, the investors identities may change. Securitization extends beyond debts. As long as the assets provide an income stream and the cash flow can be predicted in advance, almost any form of asset may be securitized loans, commitments, asset- and mortgage-backed securities, corporate bonds, equity securities, private equity investments [1]–[3]. The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. A complicated legal framework supports securitization. The originator, the special purpose vehicle SPV, the security trustee, the administrator, and the investors are the primary participants in a securitization transaction.

The sale of receivables the asset pool by the owner the originator to a buyer, sometimes a legally created business or a specially constituted trust the SPV, is a conventional securitization transaction. The SPV is set up a to be unaffected by the originators insolvency bankruptcy remoteness and b to allow for DE recognition of the assets. The SPV will issue debt securities to pay for the transaction. The securities are backed by the asset pool in two different ways: their interest and principal payments are closely correlated with the interest and principal received on the asset pool and b they are secured on the receivables by virtue of a security interest granted to a third party, frequently a security trustee, which acts on behalf of the debt securities investors. As a result of securitization, the following things happen: the originator receives funds from the transfer for the assets to the SPV the SPV holds the assets and uses the income from the assets to fund its own borrowing the SPVs borrowings are secured by the assets and as a result have a lower interest rate than unsecured borrowings.

The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need.The ownership of the asset class does not pass to the SPV during a financed synthetic securitization process instead, it stays on the originators balance sheet. However, a credit derivative is used to shift the risks related to the asset pool to the SPV [4]–[6]. The benefits of securitization. Securitization may be advantageous for the company the originator in several ways. From balance sheet benefits to risk transfer, securitization has several advantages for

the administration of risk via special purpose entities. Securitization can be used by not only listed companies but also unlisted public limited liability companies, private limited liability companies, public sector entities, and other legal entities with a sufficiently large pool of homogenous assets that generate income, as the securities are legally issued by an SPV rather than by the firm itself.

The removal of the securitized assets from the originators balance sheet, with the revenues thereafter showing up as cash, is one of the main advantages of securitization. Securitization takes the balance sheet into serious consideration. Securitization might theoretically assist the company in lowering the cost of finance. Securitization is the process of transforming the cash flows from underlying assets or receivables owed to the organization that owns them into a steady and predictable source of payments. Securitization may minimize the cost of capital via credit arbitrage and assist the company in obtaining a better credit rating to purchase the securities that are asset-backed issued.

The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. It's possible to see the asset-backed securities as posing a lower credit risk compared with the originator. The originator can owe money to organizations that are seen as being a greater credit risk than it is. Theoretically, the originator will be able to borrow money more affordably than if its lenders had to assume the risk of the originators default as well as any risk associated with the receivables if they may borrow money purely against the credit risk of those receivables. Third, compared to the companys financial intermediary's banks and other lenders, asset-backed securities could provide a superior credit risk. Price segmentation of securities will be made possible by trenching and using the equity approach. The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. The laws of various nations may be used to regulate the legal structure. Due to this, paperwork risk and overall legal risk both grow.

1. The party's choice of law will normally determine the documents.
2. The transaction is often regulated by English law or New York law since one of the aims of the transaction is to issue bonds on the capital market. By doing this, the parties may be able to reduce the overall legal risk that investors are exposed to, as well as the legal transparency and coherence of the transactions legal structure.
3. However, under the Rome I Regulation, the receivables to be allocated are in fact controlled by the laws of the originator and its consumers home countries.
4. The legislation that oversees the receivables customer - originator determines whether they may be allocated.
5. The legislation selected to regulate the majority of the contracts in the transaction is often applied to the assignment of the receivables originator - SPV. It might be either New York or English law. The law that controls contractual matters is either English law or New York law, and the bonds are typically controlled by the securities market legislation of the market where they are issued.
6. The law of the location where the assets are located as well as the law governing contractual problems determine whether security interests are valid.
7. The law of the nation where each business is registered governs the features of company law.
8. The legal system of the nation in which the court is located is followed by all courts. Lex fori is the law that governs rights in bankruptcy.
9. Each nation complies with its own administrative and tax rules.

II. DISCUSSION

Securitization Structures

The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. There are several methods to organize a securitization. The originator may choose for a secured loan arrangement or a full company securitization structure instead of the conventional asset-backed securitization, for instance. The participation of the originator is one of the key distinctions between normal securitization and secured loan or full company securitization. The gap between a companys current assets and current liabilities represents the working capital need. The latter arrangement requires ongoing administrative engagement from the originator, unlike the typical securitization structure. The distinction between conventional genuine sale securitization and synthetic securitization is also conceivable [7]–[9]. For instance, English football teams. Selection of the securitization

structure, among other things, relies on the type of the company and its activity. The securitization methods used by English football teams provide as an illustration of this. They use a different securitization approach than the typical asset-backed securitization, which may assist to reduce risk.

Forms of Funding

Every investment has to be supported in some manner. The company will need to accumulate reserves in addition to other investments as part of its overall liquidity and risk management in order to lessen the risk of liquidity shortages. Ancillary services and the funding mix. The common forms are seen from the firm's viewpoint as Retained profits, company-released capital, debt, shareholder's equity equity, and mezzanine are all forms of finance. There may also be other sources of finance, such as asset investors investments.

The company will choose a financing mix by assessing the benefits and drawbacks of various funding sources from a money, commercial, and legal standpoint. The availability and cost of capital, corporate risk management, and the administration of agency relationships between the business as principal and investors as agents, among other factors, all affect the financing mix. If a public firm is not lean, it may draw adversarial bids. If a company is seeking control and wants to maintain its independence and long-term viability, it must convey to prospective buyers several key messages: that its capital is already being used effectively that the amount of assets that the can be distributed to shareholders is limited that the buyer would not be able to finance a hostile bid by saddling the company with additional debt and that a takeover would result in a low rate of return. Therefore, a corporation that is seeking control tends to maintain a low level of shareholder capital and cash that may be allocated to owners.

A public company could get competitive bids if it is not lean. A company seeking control must make clear to potential buyers several important points if it hopes to preserve its independence and long-term viability: that its capital is already being used efficiently that the amount of assets that can be sold to shareholders is limited that the buyer would not be able to finance a hostile bid by burdening the company with additional debt and that the acquisition would result in a low rate of return. A company seeking control will thus often retain low levels of shareholder capital and cash as may be distributed to owners. There are many different specialized types of finance. An example of asset-backed finance is project finance. It is offered for a ring-fenced project, which is a project that is both legally and financially self-contained. The project finance itself consists of two components: equity money from project investors and project finance debt from lenders. In contrast to standard bank loans, project finance debt is paid back from the projects future cash flow.

When a company buys a commercial venture, it could need to raise substantial quantities of money. Takeover funding comes in a variety of formats. A small-scale buy-out may just be paid for using bank loans. There may be a share swap. The stock market may be a source of finance for mature businesses. A combination of debt and equity financing is possible. The loan could take the shape of a syndicated loan if the buy-out is particularly substantial. Private equity companies have refined a method called refinancing to repay short-term takeover loans from the assets of the target. The targets assets constitute a significant source of takeover funding. In the capital market, listed corporations have utilized share-boosting tactics like share buybacks for a variety of reasons. Activist shareholders could exert pressure, for instance, and private equity firms may have improved the market for corporate control. Additionally, it's possible that the usage of executive stock option programmes has resulted in more share buybacks. A decrease in transparency was the other aspect of these tendencies. The first was the expansion of trading in non-regulated marketplaces. Second, private equity groups and leveraged buyout businesses utilized the cash to purchase publicly traded companies and delist them from the stock market. In reality, the decline in European stock markets in 2006 was the first in more than 20 years. Faster than firms could issue new shares, buyouts, overseas acquisitions, and debt-financed share buybacks eliminated shares from stock markets.

Legal Risks Inherent in Funding Transactions

Funding agreements may be complex legally. Their legal features rely on the kind of financing debt, equity, mezzanine, reducing capital requirements, the business structure of the company, the class of investors, the specifics of the transaction, and other factors including the applicable legislation. The kind of financing will determine the legal framework that applies to the fundraising transaction and any relevant agency relationships between the business and its different investors. However, financing transactions are often impacted by the same broad legal considerations as investment transactions. This makes sense given that the firms finance transactions may also constitute other party's investments. The company will control cash flow, risk, details, and agency

relationships in both scenarios. There is a common danger of not having enough financing. Over-reliance on a single institution or source raises this danger. Over-dependence may result from the companys business strategy as in the case of Northern Rock, commercial decisions such as an excessive reliance on one bank, or legal decisions.

Contracts for money, for instance, between the company and one source may make it difficult for the company to get funding from other sources. Over-reliance is likely to make other funding-related issues worse. The departure risk exists similar to the acceleration risk in debt financing. The financing source might evaporate for a variety of reasons, forcing the company to return money it has already received. a A shareholder may request the return of the money they have invested and leave the company in accordance with the standard conditions of the investment. b On the other side, departure might sometimes be unexpected and occur sooner than anticipated. The materialization of counterparty commercial risk for further information on counterparty commercial risk, may be the reason of this acceleration. The firm might, for instance, favor long-term investors, but a particular investor may decide to withdraw their money from the investment for a variety of reasons, including those allowed by the terms of the investment contract, the investors own default without the firm's consent, the investors desire to increase liquidity, the investors own insolvency, or other factors.

The refinancing risk is shown below. The company could have to pay more for its finance if a comparable agreement is used to replace the funding arrangement. For instance, in a mortgage transaction, refinancing expenses comprise both the increased interest rate and processing charges. The parameters of the current financing arrangement may be a contributing factor to some of the expenditures. If the company wishes to end the partnership, it could have committed to pay fees and charges. The company can also have committed to pay a prepayment fee or compensate the investor for any losses they have incurred. The asset is rented from a financial intermediary, which may easily end the lease agreement. The intermediary serves as a specialized redeployed of certain assets with expert knowledge of their alternate applications. Real estate companies and aero plane leasing companies are typical examples of such redeployers. The transaction also affects the risk of repossession. Unless the lessee has the option to buy the item from the lessee, there is a considerable danger of repossession when lease agreements expire.

Sixth, there are several additional dangers with collateral. a in addition to the danger of repossession, there is also the risk of the market. The company could have to pay the collateral-taker or provide additional collateral if the value of the collateral decreases. a When the collateral arrangement is due to expire, there is a similar risk. The collateral-taker often asserts a extend or pay right under such circumstances. Examples of common extend or pay claims include demand guarantees. The provider of the collateral may be personally exposed to counterparty risk. If the collateral-taker fails, it may not be simple to reclaim the collateral depending on the legal conditions. Covenants come with a variety of hazards. Covenants are often used to improve credit. They serve as contractual restrictions that restrict the firm's activities. Overly restrictive covenants may make it more difficult for the company to acquire fresh capital from other sources, increase expenses for the company, and increase the danger of the company defaulting. They can also inhibit the company from making the best business choices.

Eighth, transferability entails a number of legal hazards. A decline in the quality of claims may be indicated by their transfer. Additionally, since the transferor may have been a greater agent or counterparty than the prospective transferee would ever be, the transfer may raise agency costs or counterparty business risk. A hostile financial institution could purchase a long-term loan or a share block, for instance, with the intention of terminating it due to an alleged default. The chance of conflicting contracts is present here. Raising stock, debt, or mezzanine financing and releasing funds may be challenging legally. Without adequate drafting, a transactions legal structure may include provisions that constitute a default and violate the conditions of another agreement.

Information. The company often fulfils transparency responsibilities in fundraising transactions to lessen the perceived risk of investors. Investors may interpret any contract conditions or other financing terms in a certain way. A contract term indicates the firm's readiness and capacity to abide by it. Additionally, contractual responsibilities for certain disclosures exist. If representations or other covenants are broken, the contract may be in default and subject to cost increases, payment acceleration, or cancellation.

The other party is an agency and a source of counterparty commercial risk with relation to certain financing transactions. The management of counterparty commercial risk is crucial for four main reasons: an investor may decide to withdraw their investment, in which case the funds will no longer be available an investor may transfer

their investment to another investor against the firms interests an investor may have an excessive amount of influence over the management of the firm and an investor may use their influence against the firm. The issue of firm insiders taking advantage of outside investors has received a lot of attention in mainstream corporate governance studies. The main sources of agency issues in this situation are the presence of competing interests and the agents risk aversion. Agency costs, for instance, are often incurred by creditors as a result of claim dilution, asset withdrawal, asset substitution, and underinvestment.

Diversification

The company has to diversify its financing options. a The business should not get all of its money from a single institution or source, and the funding agreements should never restrict the firm from seeking out further capital from other sources. Conditions should not include approval from current shareholders, lenders, or other investors. a The company should also not depend on just one kind of funding. As an example, Northern Rock see above had aimed to expand its financing sources internationally. However, it was heavily reliant on short-term capital market borrowing. Northern Rock had a liquidity problem as a result of the global interbank markets collapse.

III. CONCLUSION

The pooling and repackaging of financial assets into marketable securities is a specific facet of the complicated financial process known as securitization. While giving investors investment options, it advantages originators in terms of risk transfer, liquidity, and finance. To reduce possible risks and guarantee the stability of the securitized markets, adequate risk management and transparency are necessary. Promoting the ethical use of securitization as a financial instrument requires ongoing regulatory monitoring and industry best practises.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Hayes, Identity and securitization in the democratic peace: The United States and the divergence of response to India and Irans nuclear programs, Int. Stud. Q., 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00565.x.

[2] C. Kinnvall, Feeling ontologically insecure: States, traumas and the governing of gendered space, Coop. Confl., 2017, doi: 10.1177/0010836716641137.

[3] B. Bezirgan-Tanış, History-writing in Turkey through securitization discourses and gendered narratives, Eur. J. Womens Stud., 2019, doi: 10.1177/1350506819855407.

[4] A. Vogl, Over the Borderline: A critical inquiry into the geography of territorial excision and the securitisation of the Australian Border, UNSW Law J., 2015.

[5] V. Mitsilegas, Extraterritorial immigration control, preventive justice and the rule of law in turbulent times: lessons from the anti-smuggling crusade, in Constitutionalising the External Dimensions of EU Migration Policies in Times of Crisis: Legality, Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights Reconsidered, 2019. doi: 10.4337/9781788972482.00024.

[6] D. Tomic, E. Saljic, en D. Cupic, Cyber-security policies of East European Countries, in Handbook of Cyber-Development, Cyber-Democracy, and Cyber-Defense, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-09069-6_59.

[7] The Prudential Regulation of Banks, J. Bank. Financ., 1996, doi: 10.1016/s0378-42669690249-6.

[8] G. Rojas Elgueta, Divergences and Convergences of Common Law and Civil Law Traditions on Asset Partitioning: A Functional Analysis, SSRN Electron. J., 2011, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1395342.

[9] A. M. Parra, Respuestas Jurídicas A La Crisis Financiera De 2008. Spanish, Leg. responses to Financ. Cris. 2008., 2012.