International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Special Issue-10, October-2022
Innovative Projects 2022
Organized by Presidency University, Bangalore, India

An Overview of Safe Harbor Provision

Dr. Vankadari Gupta
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id- chithambargupta@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

The Safe Harbor provision is a legal concept that offers protection and certainty to individuals or organizations in specific circumstances. This abstract explores the Safe Harbor provision, its purpose, and its application in various areas of law. It discusses the key features of Safe Harbor provisions, including their role in reducing liability, encouraging voluntary compliance, and providing legal clarity. Additionally, it highlights the significance of Safe Harbor provisions in fostering innovation, promoting data privacy, and facilitating international trade. The Safe Harbor provision serves as a shield or defense mechanism for individuals or organizations engaged in certain activities that may otherwise expose them to legal risks or liabilities. It offers a predetermined set of rules, standards, or procedures that, if followed, grant immunity or limit liability in specific situations. Safe Harbor provisions are commonly found in areas such as intellectual property, data privacy, securities regulation, and international trade.

KEYWORDS

Disclosure, Forward-looking statements, Legal protection, Materiality, Regulatory requirements, Risk mitigation, Securities laws.

I. INTRODUCTION

The annual report contains a significant amount of information on the firm's expectations for the future, both for the company as a whole and for each segment. "Outlook" refers to this of the report. With this presentation, management must use extreme caution. It could lead to choices being made regarding investments in the company by current and future stock market investors that might have unfavorable results. If the conversation is conducted properly, the corporation cannot be held account for this as it is not offering advise but rather is only outlining management's assessment of the future. Many businesses carefully note that they cannot be held liable for the veracity of the outlook in their disclaimer statement that they supply with this outlook. They may avoid being held account for remarks made by top management in this way. This was made clear in Disney's statement.

GE made one of the sincerest and illuminating remarks in their annual report a few years ago. It had a very clear understanding of its previous performance and aspirations for the future. The safe harbor clause's protection allowed it to do this in a lawful manner. The firm discussed both its strengths and flaws with great impartiality. Without this clause, the annual report would have been entirely compliance-focused, include far less useful data, and have given no indication of the company's future. Consider the political and legal repercussions that might result from the corporation being required to disclose its budget. If the business didn't make its numbers, the litigation would get cumbersome. In the event that actual performance surpassed projected budgets, the legal position may potentially develop worse. Therefore, management is immune from legal action when it just discusses the future of the firm in broad terms. To be fair, no predicted s is given. The debate only covers tactics and concerns [1]–[3].

Independent Accountants' Report

This letter was created by the outside accounting firm for the business. It is directed to the company's investors, to whom the accounting firm submits reports, as well as sometimes to the board of directors. A proxy statement outlining the key topics that will be resolved by shareholder vote at the annual meeting will be included when the firm sends out the notice of the annual meeting. A shareholder's vote is not invalidated if they choose not to attend the meeting. The shareholder may instead cast a "proxy" vote. The shareholders' approval of the board of directors' decision to extend the contract with the outside CPA firm is one matter that consistently comes up on the proxy statement. Almost often, the vote endorses the board's proposal. No substitute CPA company is mentioned. However, via this mostly democratic process, the shareholders do have the option to stop using the CPA firm's services if there have been serious issues with the audit procedure or accounting integrity.

The Audit Process

The internal accounting team of the firm is in charge of maintaining the books and generating the financial statements. Internal audit is a department that is independent of the accounting team that, to some extent, assesses the work of the accounting staff in larger firms. The audit team could not even be affiliated with the controller's company in certain circumstances. A classic is United Technologies' annual report for 2006. It effectively explains the function of internal auditors and the internal control procedure:

Establishing and maintaining sufficient internal controls over financial reporting is within the purview of UTC management. Internal control over financial reporting is a procedure created to offer a modicum of assurance regarding the accuracy of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted US accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting may not be able to stop or catch errors because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting at UTC has been evaluated by management as of December 31, 2006. Management used the standards for internal control established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Tredway Commission in completing its evaluation. All of the top UTC executives, including the CEO, COO, vice president of finance, and vice president of accounting and finance, have signed this letter.

Although these internal auditors work for United Technologies, they help the external auditing efforts by acting as a stand-in for the outside CPA company. PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP is the outside CPA company for UTC. PricewaterhouseCoopers and KPMG have replaced Arthur Andersen as the leading CPA companies. Both of these CPA companies are worldwide corporations with locations all over the globe and hundreds of partners [4]–[6].

The accuracy of the transactions' recording is checked by auditors. They examine the financial statements' correctness as well as the many management estimations. In order to be publicly listed, all American businesses must have their financial accounts audited. Financial accounts for the majority of big private enterprises are audited as well. Due to the fact that it makes it easier to do worldwide business, this procedure is becoming global. A letter to the investors is written by the CPA firm. Although the letters from most businesses are similar, they include some fascinating information about the accounting process. What follows is a standard letter:

The ABC Company's consolidated balance sheet, together with the corresponding consolidated statements of income, retained profits, and cash flows, have all been audited. This applies to each of the three years. The management of the Company is in charge of these financial statements. It is our duty to provide an assessment of these financial accounts based on our audit. We carried out our audit in conformity with widely recognized U.S. auditing standards. According to those requirements, we must organize and carry out the audit in order to gain a reasonable level of confidence about the absence of substantial misrepresentation in the financial statements. Examining the supporting documentation for the s and disclosures in the financial statements on a test basis is part of an audit. Examining the accounting standards used and important management estimations is also a part of an audit.

II. DISCUSSION

Several extremely intriguing aspects of this letter provide insights into the accounting and auditing procedures. The function of the CPA company is made very plain in the first sentence. The following sentence demonstrates that were generated by the ABC Company. A statement on the financial statements is made by the CPA firm. This is a relatively straightforward phrase that has generated a great deal of debate throughout the years. The letter makes no mention of a promise, fact, or warranty. This letter is often referred to as "the opinion letter" for this reason. Later in the letter, the CPA firm reiterates its responsibility by using the word assurance. The CPA company evaluates the data "on a test basis," as you may have noticed. Not every entry in the company's records is independently verified by the accounting firm. Instead, it employs samples: it chooses a certain number of clients to check accounts receivable, a specific number of suppliers to validate accounts payable, and uses analogous procedures to confirm payroll, inventory, and all other important things. The onus is on the corporation to demonstrate that all other accounting rules have been followed and that assets have been listed at the lesser of cost or market.

The letter's last paragraph acknowledges that the financial s are estimations. Based on the anticipated lifespan of the equipment, depreciation costs are calculated. A reserve for bad debts is a prediction or estimate of the amount of accounts receivable that is not expected to be collected. The amount of money that workers will have earned when they retire and the number of employees who will be eligible are projected to be used in the pension fund calculation. Even though these s are based on the most accurate information available, they are all estimations [7]–[10]. The CPA company will provide one of three replies after the completion of the accounting and auditing tasks.

An unqualified view is one that is expressed without any restrictions or qualifications. It shows that the audited firm did a great job of maintaining its records and generating its financial statements in conformity with widely accepted accounting principles.

With one exception, everything is good, according to a qualified view. The corporation and the CPA have "agreed to disagree" on one part of the presentation, although they are usually in accord. This often involves a GAAP interpretation or the accounting for a specific business transaction, such the acquisition or sale of a firm. The stance of the auditors on the matter will be discussed in the letter. The audit letter will also cover this topic if the client firm is experiencing severe financial difficulties to the point where it is uncertain whether it can continue operating as a going concern.

When the accounting records are in such chaos that the auditors are unable to verify the s, either no opinion is given or a negative opinion is given. Control methods could be inadequate or nonexistent. This outcome will undoubtedly be brought on by accounting discrepancies as well as a practice of not following GAAP. A large corporation does not just switch CPA companies. The corporation and accounting firm have a long-standing, mutually beneficial relationship that incorporates a high level of trust and collaboration. If the CPA firm is requested to sign again or is asked to, this often indicates that there are significant disagreements over the accounting procedure or the reporting of results. An indication that anything is awry is often the abrupt, unexpected departure of the chief financial officer or chief accounting officer. Though not always, take note. If personality or culture is a problem, it is considerably simpler for the CPA company to transfer CPAs than to lose a customer.

Additional Important Data in the Annual Report

1. Five- or 10-years' worth of financial and statistical data are often presented. This gives a helpful picture of the company's success and its consistency. Comparing the 10-year history to the performance of the American and global economy over the same time period is intriguing. This might provide some insight into the company's response to the current economic climate and how it has been impacted by it. The annual report from Johnson & Johnson is a great illustration of this.

2. A list of the directors and members of the management team is given. The executives who are given board membership invitations may provide information about the company's succession planning. If the management team performs badly and an outside viewpoint is needed, outside directors who are or were CEOs of other firms may be considered contenders for the top position at this company.

3. We discover which board members serve on which committees. This will allow us to guarantee that the audit committee has at least one CPA and that the pay committee does not include any full-time workers.

4. The annual report has a with what seems to be standard information somewhere in the rear. It contains:

1. Where and when the annual meeting will be held
2. Obtaining a 10-K report
3. How to ask inquiries of the company's different s
4. Whether the business has programs for direct stock purchases and dividend reinvestment

How to find out more information

These facts may not seem shocking, but many individuals had to work very hard for many years before they were finally granted the chance to learn them. In many respects, corporate management used to be a "closed club." Except for the option to sell their shares if they were unhappy with their investment, shareholders had very few rights. But over time, a group of individuals known as shareholder activists emerged. It would be logical to presume that the management group referred to these individuals in considerably less complimentary terms. These instigators now tend to be hedge fund managers. They are the people who acquire substantial stakes in businesses, request board seats, demand higher dividends and share buybacks, and sometimes even demand a change in management. Each and every businessperson should be aware of the Gilbert brothers, John and Lewis, for a long-term perspective on this movement.

The Gilbert Brothers: The First Shareholder Advocacy Group

If you watched the movie Wall Street, you probably witnessed Michael Douglas' performance as Gordon Gecko browbeating the board of directors of a multinational corporation for being conceited and disinterested in the interests of the firm and its investors. They were receiving huge salaries and incentives despite the fact that the business was doing poorly. There were too many top executives taking up time and space without contributing

anything. This is not a fantasy. The character was really based on John and Lewis Gilbert, two real-life individuals. Anyone involved in business or interested in the stock market should be aware of what they did.

Their Participation

The Gilbert brothers were quite well off when they were born at the beginning of the 20th century. They committed their whole lives to having fun, generating money, and fostering an atmosphere in which top management and boards of directors of corporations genuinely reported to the shareholders, who were their real employers. They were the first stock activist groups. The following ideas are among the advancements they brought about or to which they contributed:

1. The company's board of directors' members should truly be stockholders.
2. Annual meetings should provide stockholder's sufficient notice.

Before the annual meeting, shareholders should get audited financial statements. Meetings have previously sometimes been canceled because the financial statements weren't yet "available". The venue of annual meetings should be convenient for shareholders so that some can actually attend. The insurance company's decision to conduct its annual meetings in Delaware as opposed to California, where its activities and many of its stockholders were situated, was a problem in the Transamerica litigation, which was subsequently discussed. The custom of holding yearly meetings in far-off places has been modified.

Resolutions may be put before the shareholders for a vote

The Securities and Exchange Commission declared in 1942 that shareholders might submit resolutions for a vote and that their recommendations were to be sent to other shareholders at the cost of the firm. The resolutions are now included in the proxy statement together with management's advice on whether to vote in favor of or against them. At annual meetings, shareholders are free to raise questions and legitimately anticipate getting answers. In the 1930s, annual meetings were often attended by corporate personnel who would yell down any investor who made a critical remark. Lewis Gilbert's expulsion from a yearly gathering sped up the brothers' attempts at rehabilitation. He was taken into custody and charged with disorderly behavior after asking the chairman a critical question.

Compensation for senior managers need to be based on how profit the business is. As early as 1937, including executive compensation in the proxy statement had an impact. The Gilberts put pressure on Bethlehem Steel's chairman, who was forced to accept a wage reduction. Business Week and the New York Times both ran front-page stories on the occasion. But this problem hasn't been fixed. Severance packages of millions of dollars for failing CEOs and incentives worth billions of dollars to keep workers at insolvent corporations are still in the headlines.

Rather than management, shareholders pick auditors. At annual meetings, auditors should be present to address shareholders' inquiries. Lewis Gilbert pushed the idea of internal auditors who would ensure the accuracy of the company's financial data as early as the 1930s.

Proxy statements include shareholder suggestions, as was already noted. The Gilbert brothers filed a lawsuit against Transamerica Insurance Company in 1945 as a result of management's refusal to incorporate their suggestions in the company's proxy statement. "A business is administered for the advantage of its investors and not merely for that of its management," the court declared. This represented a major shift in thought and behavior. In the present era of shareholder activism and the apparently endless supply of information available through the Internet, these challenges seem rather clear. The reverse was true when Lewis and John Gilbert were in their prime in the 1940s. Their inquiries, shareholder resolutions, and challenges are known to have irritated more than one board chairman.

The Effort Continues

The brothers established a charity many years ago that was aptly named Corporate Democracy, Inc. Ten people were assembled on a team that goes to dozens of yearly meetings, wherever and whenever they may take place. These days, meetings that need several flights and vehicle rentals are quite uncommon thanks to the Gilberts. Additionally, the Securities and Exchange Commission's laws have improved accountability, and the Internet has greatly boosted information accessibility. However, the work is still being done, giving a long-running process more pace.

One of the most important advancements in shareholder rights is how security analysts are treated by a corporation in comparison to "ordinary shareholders. Traditionally, companies would invite a small group of analysts to highly comfort corporate retreats where management would discuss its previous success and its forecasts for the future of

the firm. With this chosen few, this would be followed by conference calls and other types of contact. In reality, material was often sent to these analysts before it was made public. Then, they could inform their customers of important developments before the broader public did. Many of these experts' clients had already traded the stock by the time a public statement was issued. Companies must now allow everyone to listen in on their conference calls in order to avoid the perception that only a select few have access to important, otherwise secret information. Major events are now disclosed to the public simultaneously, including financial details, either via a press release or an SEC filing. The initial shareholder activists were responsible for all of these transparency upgrades. When you read the list and explanation of these measures. These are extremely clear. But it is only because of the persistent hard effort of numerous individuals over a long period of time that we accept these practices as evident.

Contemporary Activists

While many modern business activists vary greatly from the Gilbert brothers in many ways, they have certain parallels with them. Lewis and John Gilbert were not driven by their own financial interests. There is no guarantee that their efforts ever resulted in financial reward. Instead, they concentrated on corporate governance, or how to run publicly traded corporations so as to protect shareholders' interests, promote equity, and hold management account. Despite the fact that the contemporary generation of activists were driven by financial gain, their actions led to stronger management accountability, better transparency, and an increased emphasis on governance.

Wilbur Ross, Eddie Lampert, Nelson Pelts, and Carl Icahn are the current leaders. Their strategy is taking sizeable positions in firms whose assets are worth far more than the firm's market value. With a significant voting bloc and perhaps board seats, they are able to compel changes in strategy, sometimes in senior management, a comprehensive overhaul of how the firm runs, and maybe even a sale of the corporation. Gaining control of the target corporations, Wilbur Ross appoints fresh management groups. Eddie Lampert acquired control of Sears and Kmart after deciding that the value of the real estate they held outweighed the price of purchasing a majority stake in both enormous businesses. Sadly, he accomplished this just before the 2006 real estate bubble burst, and he is still attempting to make his plan of action work.

Carl Icahn became well-known by using a distinctive strategy. He is credited with being a pioneer of the "greenmail" tactic. He would invest heavily in struggling businesses, disparage the performance of the management, and make a pledge to fire them once he gained control. The management would have their firm purchase back solely his shares at a significant premium to their present worth in order to get rid of him and safeguard their employment. This decreased the value of the majority of investors' shares, used up the company's available cash, and increased Icahn's wealth while he vanished from view. Icahn gained money from Greenmail while managers were much improved by his presence. In the late 1980s, Carl Icahn did in fact run a company called TWA for seven years until selling off its components. His interests now days span a wide range, including Motorola and Time Warner.

If an activist is someone who spots poor, underperforming management and pushes for reforms, then Jim Cramer of the television network CNBC is one of the most understated players. "Wall of shame" is a feature of his regular stock market broadcast. On it, he features CEOs whose businesses are doing so badly that the top executive must resign or, as he puts it, "They need to retire to spend more time with their family." Cramer claims on his television program that he cannot profit from stock ownership, hence he never promotes a tactic for his personal gain.

10-K Report

The 10-K is an annual report that the Securities and Exchange Commission receives directly. It includes additional information in addition to the annual report's inclusion of all the reports mandated by regulatory bodies. There are no photo-graphs or information about public relations. Some 10-K filings, which are equivalent to proxy statements, provide extensive biographical information on the directors and officers.

An Internet firm that had a string of especially dismal years was identified in its 10-K filing as facing the following potential risks:

1. Uncertainties resulting from the short working history of the firm
2. Losses from operations and the possible need for further funding
3. unproven consumer preference for the brand's goods in a market that is still growing
4. dependence on ongoing Internet use

Dependence on advertising income and skepticism over the use of the Internet as a medium for advertising Reliance on a small number of advertisers

1. operating in a new, cutthroat industry with few entries obstacles

2. variations in the quarterly operating outcomes; seasonality
3. the need of establishing and maintaining brand recognition
4. reliance on key employees and a lack of trained information technology workers
5. the company's resources being strained as a consequence of its ongoing expansion
6. inability to combine operations and find prospective acquisitions
7. inability to grow and manage businesses abroad
8. a reliance on content providers
9. reliance on strategic partnerships
10. Capacity limitations and system failure risk
11. Internet security threats
12. inadequate intellectual property protection
13. Informational services liability
14. Government oversight
15. concentrated ownership of stocks
16. Stock price volatility

The Delaware corporation law's antitakeover clauses

The full disclosure requirements of GAAP undoubtedly forced this organization to be transparent. It would be beneficial if every business assessed its future with the same level of candor and openness. The business also went into great detail about how it was addressing each of these issues. It would be useful to know which dangers your business and those of its rivals consider to be potential barriers to future success. Because the reader has been "warned," the business is also reducing the likelihood of litigation.

The proxy declaration

Each shareholder gets a packet of information, the proxy statement, together with the notice of the annual meeting. The majority of shareholders are requested to vote via "proxy" since they often do not attend the annual meeting. This vote may be cast by mail or online, and it functions similarly to an absentee ballot in national elections. Shareholders may cast ballots for:

The yearly agreement with the CPA company

The directors' board members

Changes to the corporation bylaws proposed Executive incentive and stock option programs Submitted proposals by shareholders. The voting alternatives are always yes or no, and the proxy nearly always shows the vote that the board of directors has advised. Other information in the proxy package is also quite interesting:

1. Information that a shareholder list is accessible at company headquarters and at the annual meeting. For shareholder activists like the Gilberts, who work to generate interest in a specific topic, this is of particular relevance. Potential buyers of the business might also benefit from it since they need to get in touch with the shareholders to ask for support.

2. A list of the board of directors' members, together with information on their affiliations and salaries. An annual retainer, a fee for each board meeting, a fee for each committee meeting, stock options, and deferred income are all possible remuneration choices for board members. This may cost Fortune 500 organizations $100,000 or more a year.

3. The total salary for each company officer, which includes stock options and deferred pay. It is a very good condition if every corporate officer and director has an interest in the company's performance and if their compensation is based on that success or failure.

4. A summary of the executive pension and severance arrangements. There has been a lot of bad news about departing CEOs who were paid more for quitting voluntarily than they did for their previous employment. The argument is that it would have cost more money for them to continue with the business.

Alternative Information Sources

An annual report may be obtained in one of three ways. The first comes directly from the business. The second method involves using a service offered by a business called Public Register. Through the Public Register website, one may obtain the Annual Report Service. The program offers free access to the annual reports of thousands of businesses. Finding the reports, you need is simple and convenient since the businesses in the Public Register

database are alphabetized, geographically arranged, and grouped by industry. Additionally, the reports are downloadable. A comparable service is offered by The Wall Street Journal. Morningstar Re- ports is the most extensive of these services. An industry leader in the provision of investing information, research, and analysis is Morningstar, a Chicago-based company. It is simple to access over the Internet. On the Morningstar website, you may find:

An explanation of the enterprises run by the firm

a list of its executives

1. Financial statements for three years, in great detail, comprising the income statement, balance sheet, and statement of cash flows
2. Various financial ratios, broken down by category:
3. financial capability
4. Administration efficiency
5. Profitability

Return on equity and return on assets are included in the management effectiveness ratios. The operating margin, return on sales, and gross profit percentage are examples of profitability ratios.

The comparison between the ratios of the subject firm and the typical ratios for its industry is the most fascinating and useful part of the study. Utilizing the websites that provide the ratios of the discussed firm, industry averages, and the Russell & Poor's 500 corporations is highly beneficial. This information has exceptional benchmarking value. The ratios are divided into the following groups:

1. Information on the stock market, such as price/earnings and price/cash flow
2. Rates of sales and profit growth
3. financial capability
4. Profitability
5. Administration efficiency
6. Efficiency

There are over 50 different ratios. If it were feasible, the stock symbols of the other firms in the industry data base are included at the end of the ratios to increase the value of the information even more.

Financial and Exchange Commission

The Securities and Exchange Commission is an executive branch department of the federal government tasked with assuring fairness in the securities markets. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, sometimes referred to as the "truth in securities" statute, established it. Public firms are required to provide investors financial and other important information about securities that are being sold to the public under this legislation and the Securities Act of 1933, which it superseded. Additionally, these regulations prohibit fraud, misrepresentation, and dishonesty in the selling of securities.

Companies must register with the SEC if their securities are owned by more than 500 independent shareholders and they have assets of at least $10 million. Shareholders may make educated investment choices thanks to the information provided by registration. If an investor can show they made their investment choice based on information that was inaccurate, incomplete, or misleading, they may be able to claim damages from the company. All SEC filings are accessible online at www.sec.gov, which is the SEC website. The solicitation of shareholder votes is likewise governed by SEC regulations. The SEC must receive proxy statements before the solicitation may take place.

The SEC must also receive a filing from anybody who wants to make a tender offer for more than 5% of a company's equity. A tender offer is a large-scale share acquisition proposal. An advertising known as a "tombstone" is often used in the Wall Street Journal to announce tender proposals. When an unpleasant tender offer is anticipated, many people or businesses often buy 4.99% of the shares before it happens. The stock price will rise once their stakes approach 5% and their intentions are made known. As a result, in order to conceal its intentions, the buyer will hang onto the threshold until the very end of the transaction.

The conduct of insiders is likewise governed by securities legislation. Beyond only firm management, anybody who knows important knowledge that is not generally accessible to the public may be considered an insider. Buying and selling shares by insiders is permitted, but they are required to report such transactions to the SEC. Accountants,

attorneys, secretaries, even the printer who publishes the financial accounts are all included in this. The majority of stock market websites include details on management stock acquisitions and transactions.

III. CONCLUSION

Overall, Safe Harbor clauses are useful legal tools that provide people and organizations in a variety of legal fields clarity, security, and incentives. They inspire innovation, encourage conformity, and make it easier for nations to work together. For managing legal difficulties, reducing risks, and assuring legal compliance in certain sectors, it is essential to comprehend and use Safe Harbor principles. Safe Harbor clauses support regulatory harmonization and ease cross-border transactions in the context of international commerce. For instance, they provide recommendations for businesses to abide with export control rules and guarantee that their commodities do not come under the purview of restricted or forbidden goods.

REFERENCES

[1] D. L. Buresh, "3D Printing and 512(c): Safe Harbor Provision of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act," J. 3D Print. Appl., 2019, doi: 10.14302/issn.2831-8846.j3dpa-19-2603.

[2] J. Chircop, M. Fabrizi, and A. Parbonetti, "The impact of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 repo 'safe harbor' provisions on investors," Eur. J. Financ., 2018, doi: 10.1080/1351847X.2018.1427608.

[3] S. J. Liebowitz, "Economic Analysis of Safe Harbor Provisions," SSRN Electron. J., 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3143811.

[4] D. J. Skinner, "Do the SEC's Safe Harbor Provisions Encourage Forward-Looking Disclosures?," Financ. Anal. J., 1995, doi: 10.2469/faj.v51.n4.1919.

[5] J. Di Palma, "the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and the Clash Between Authors and Innovators: the Need for a Legislative Amendment To the Safe Harbor Provisions.," Loyola Los Angel. Law Rev., 2014.

[6] J. Di Palma, "The Digital Millennium Copyright Act and the clash between authors and innovators: The need for a legislative amendment to the safe harbor provisions," Loyola Los Angel. Law Rev., 2014.

[7] Y. Tian, "Wipo Treaties, Free Trade Agreement and Implications for ISP Safe Harbour Provisions (The Role of ISP in Australian Copyright Law)," Bond Law Rev., 2004, doi: 10.53300/001c.5451.

[8] R. Garza Barbosa, "U.S. Copyright law, technology and litigation. A national approach and its international consequences," Anu. Mex. Derecho Int., 2016, doi: 10.22201/iij.24487872e.2016.16.522.

[9] "Medicare and state health care programs: fraud and abuse; clarification of the initial OIG safe harbor provisions and establishment of additional safe harbor provisions under the anti-kickback statute. Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS. Final rule.," Fed. Regist., 1999.

[10] H. He, "Safe harbor provisions of Chinese law: How clear are search engines from liability?," Comput. Law Secur. Rep., 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.clsr.2008.05.001.