International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Special Issue-10, October-2022
Innovative Projects 2022
Organized by Presidency University, Bangalore, India

A Review Study of Equity Return

Dr. Lakshmi Prasanna Pagadala
Associate Professor,Master In Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id:- lakshmi.prasanna@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

Return on Equity (ROE) is a financial ratio that measures the profitability and efficiency of a company in generating returns for its shareholders' investments. This abstract explores the concept of Return on Equity, its calculation, significance, and factors that influence it. It highlights ROE as a key metric for evaluating a company's financial performance and assessing its ability to generate profits from shareholder equity. Additionally, it discusses the implications of ROE for investors, management, and stakeholders in making informed decisions. Return on Equity is calculated by dividing net income by average shareholder equity, expressed as a percentage. It represents the return earned by shareholders on their invested capital. A higher ROE generally indicates better profitability and efficient use of shareholder funds, while a lower ROE may suggest lower profitability or inefficient capital allocation.

KEYWORDS

Assets, Debt, Equity, Financial Performance, Financial Ratios, Net Income.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ROE for Metropolitan has similarly decreased. Due to the company's usage of financial leverage, you'll see that ROE is higher than ROA. For ease of computation, end-of-year balances are used. These were also utilized in the ROA calculation. As long as the data are constant from year to year, either is true and accurate. As can be seen by the significant rise in retained earnings on the balance sheet, Metropolitan is investing a sizeable amount of its profits back into the company rather than paying the majority of the profits to its shareholders in cash. This is quite encouraging. Additionally, line 33 of the income statement details how much money was put back into the company. Out of a total net income of $156,000 in 2016, this sum was $110,000. A $46,000 cash dividend payout accounts for the discrepancy.

Reinvesting a substantial amount of the company's net profits back into the firm shows that management and owners have faith in it, which is a highly encouraging indicator. It also shows that the management does not want to depend too much on debt to fund the company's expansion, even if it understands the necessity to modernize and expand the firm. The management would rather finance the project by reinvestment of earnings. Unfortunately, a decrease in ROE, as it did in this case, may be one short-term effect of this move and may be seen negatively. Even if net income had remained constant at $190,000 as opposed to falling, ROE would have decreased, but not by as much as was stated [1]–[3]. As a result, businesses sometimes find themselves in a predicament where they must decide between the long-term advantages of growth and modernization and the emergence of more favorable short-term results. The proprietors of Metropolitan were prepared to consider the long term. They would have to defend their choice to the Wall Street crowd if this business were publicly traded.

Profit from Sales:

Financial ratios for Metropolitan blatantly show diminishing performance. There are many rationales that might apply:

1. intense competition resulting in margin erosion and price pressure
2. operational sluggishness
3. spending to make strategic preparations for the future
4. too many and too many firms, especially when compared to stronger rivals
5. outdated technology

6. decrease over time of its goods

We would typically anticipate lower gross profit margins if decreased pricing and/or volume were the root of the reduction in the ratios. The gross profit margin, however, didn't go down; it stayed the same at 34%. This may still be the case if the business decreased its production expenses proportionally, as shown by a decline in cost of products sold. Quantity discounts from bulk purchases of raw materials and bought components may have helped to keep the margins intact. Given the increased inventory levels and reduced inventory turnover, this most likely did occur. Therefore, it's possible that the corporation used inventory acquisitions to safeguard its 34 percent profit margins. Let's keep in mind that revenue did rise by more than 6% between 2015 and 2016 as we think about these lawsuits. Knowing if pricing adjustments, volume changes, product mix changes, additions of new items, or any combination of these explained the rise in revenue would be extremely beneficial. This is crucial information that standard financial reports may not include but really need to.

Even though the firm made capital expenditures totaling $34,000 between 2015 and 2016, yearly depreciation cost climbed only marginally. This shows that rather than growth, the capital expenditures were likely for asset replacement. An expansion would have resulted in higher yearly depreciation costs. Between 2015 and 2016, general and administrative costs climbed significantly. The backup information would let us know whether this expenditure was a future investment for the business, such as growing the sales organization or increasing research expenditures, or if it was extra money for significant but not essential new hires.

Ratios of Financial Leverage

If the loan conditions are reasonable, borrowing money to support expansion or modernisation is a very wise move. The interest rate should not be very high. Perhaps even more crucially, we want to profit from the investments' cash flow before the loan is due. Due to bank loans maturing before investment projects produced the anticipated returns, several businesses have had financial difficulties. In such circumstances, the firm finds itself in an extremely precarious financial situation when the loans are due since it has not yet produced the cash flow necessary to repay them. In most cases, the loan's length matters more than the actual interest rate charged. Whether the cost of the money required to finance the project is 6.0 percent or 6.5 percent, as long as the loan has a maturity of more than three years, will not affect the decision to invest in the project if a company can achieve an after-tax return on investment of 25 percent. If the funding is in the form of a bank loan for one year, the company won't have the money to pay it back and may be compelled to scale down the project and decrease costs at the worst possible moment. The likelihood of it being able to refinance that debt with the bank is high, but only on terms that benefit the bank. The company's alternatives will be limited. Therefore, even if the cost of the money is crucial, you also need to pay attention to the payback plan [4]–[6].

Equity to Debt Ratio

The debt/equity ratio evaluates risk from the standpoints of the business and both current and future lenders. The fact that principle and interest payments on debt are fixed expenses poses the company's biggest risk. Even if the company's business and cash flow suffer, they must be compensated. The corporation also runs the danger of breaching its credit arrangements if its ratios start to fall. This might result in increasing interest rates or, worse still, the bank demanding immediate loan return. A further source of financial risk is short-term bank debt. This loan likewise has a set cost associated with repayment, and unlike long-term debt, its due date is closer in time. Keep in mind that short-term debt has a shorter than one-year maturity date.

II. DISCUSSION

The term "funded debt" refers to money borrowed from financial institutions, regardless of maturity. A debt/equity ratio of greater than 0.5 is considered to be on the verge of being dangerous for the majority of manufacturing enterprises. If the business were a public utility or a top-notch developer of commercial real estate, the opposite would be true. When the financed debt-to-equity ratio for a manufacturing or service company exceeds 0.6 or 0.7 to 1, it is considered to be dangerously close to the "risky" stage. The majority of private equity deals include debt/equity ratios greater than 5:1, particularly when a public business is made private. These are really precarious circumstances with a lot of financial leverage. There are two situations that lessen the danger. The first is that the aforementioned firms often make a lot of money and will continue to do so despite layoffs and other cost-cutting measures. The second is that stock investors and debt finance sources are sometimes the same companies. Because receiving payment for their principle is a tax-free occurrence, they utilize their cash to finance the purchase via debt.

Debt ratios between 1:1 and 2:1 seemed to be reasonably safe when the U.S. economy entered a very high-risk phase between 2007 and 2009, at least in the context of companies with debt/equity ratios up to 5:1 or worse. Lenders swung to the opposite extreme and became exceedingly highly risk-averse when the economy virtually broke in late 2008 and early 2009. If you could secure finance at all, credit options were very restricted and interest rates were close to 3 to 4 percent. During this time, only businesses that were cash-rich and mostly debt-free were regarded favorably. Apple, Dell, Oracle, and Microsoft were among them [7]–[10]. Businesses increased well between 2011 and 2014, typically in high-value industries. Most of this expansion's tasks were outsourced, which reduced the requirement for loan funding. By early 2015, firms had amassed more free cash and had perhaps the lowest levels of debt ever due to the higher-margin enterprises' significant cash generation rather than consumption.

Interest Protection

The gap between the company's cash flow before interest, taxes, and other expenses and the interest it must pay on its loan is described by this. The lending institutions often impose this safety buffer as a requirement before approving a loan. In order to achieve the specified coverage ratio,

1. the caliber of the collateralized assets, if any
2. The company's and its sector's past financial success
3. The earnings stability of the firm
4. The needed interest coverage ratio will be lower the more predic and dependable the company's earnings are, as well as the faster their growth.

The business may sometimes be compelled to make monthly principle payments in addition to interest. Debt service refers to the principle and interest payments. The monthly payments that people make to the bank on a house mortgage, which include both principle and interest, have precisely the same payment patterns. Some analysts and financial institutions may compute the coverage ratio to include the principle payments as well as the interest when a firm is compelled to repay their bank in this way. The term for this is debt service coverage. Another variant of this ratio, based on the idea that long-term leases are in reality a kind of equipment financing, also incorporates lease payments. Once the approach has been chosen, using the same version consistently is crucial.

The debt-to-equity ratio for Metropolitan is quite small. A 50/50 ratio would likely be seen as comfort. Also note that Metropolitan repaid a long-term debt of $50,000. Since long-term debt is by definition not due in the next year, we can be certain that this was a voluntary action. Low debt is not only risk-wise advantageous, but lenders will also see reinvested net income as a highly good development. Four to five times the interest coverage is seen as adequate. 7.7 times is substantially within the accept range for the coverage ratio. Together with a debt-to-equity ratio of 15%, this information suggests that Metropolitan Manufacturing is not at all in financial danger. It can most definitely borrow additional money.

Income Per Worker

This ratio, which is very useful, does not fall under any of the other categories we have covered in this article. This is a general indicator of the performance, effectiveness, profitability, and value-added character of the company's operations. We look at Johnson & Johnson's experience as one extremely successful, high-quality corporation to investigate this problem. They manufacture a broad range of consumer goods, medical and pharmaceutical items, and medications on a worldwide basis.

Johnson & Johnson needed 101,000 workers in 2001 to generate $32 billion in sales annually. 2011 saw 118,000 workers generate $65 billion in income. This amounted to a $317,000 revenue per employee in 2001. The corresponding amount in 2011 was $550,000 per employee. Over the course of ten years, this amounts to a doubling of income with a decrease in workforce of less than 18%. Why does this matter?

An all-encompassing number that captures every facet of the firm is revenue per employee. It can be made better by:

1. increasing costs
2. the benefits of scale
3. Increasing effectiveness
4. A better product combination
5. outsourcing to more qualified parties
6. Purchasing technology
7. to increase effectiveness
8. to increase capacity while decreasing cost and accelerating throughput

9. to lower working capital expenditure

Quick and Dirty ratios

Here are four ratios to consider if you want to quickly review the financials and understand the narrative they tell before doing all of the intricate ratio computations later, when you have time.

The current ratio: If it's less than 1.0, the business typically has cash flow problems and finds it challenging to pay its payments. However, as we said before in this, no importance may be assigned if the ratio is more than 1.0.

Days' sales outstanding: If it is above 60 days and continuing to grow, the business is experiencing severe cash flow challenges and is likely reluctant to push its customers for payment out of concern that doing so could cost it business.

Gross profit: If the gross profit margin is shrinking, manufacturing inefficiencies may be growing worse. The corporation is utilizing selling price to attract new customers if the proportion is falling while revenue is increasing. The corporation is increasing cash flow by getting rid of its least desired companies if the proportion is increasing while revenue is static or dropping. These are all undesirable.

Debt-to-equity ratio: As long as the ratio is more than 1.0 and up to 1.5, the business is not substantially in debt. A ratio greater than 2.0 suggests that the firm will have to limit investment in order to raise the funds necessary to pay down debt. If the current ratio is less than 1.0, this is more serious.

Assessing Profit Centers by Return on Assets

The management of profit centers greatly benefits from the use of return on assets. An organization's profit center is one that is focused on a particular market, distribution channel, or group of clients. It has a unique strategy and may even have a unique business model that is best suited to making that particular sort of company profit. Strategic business units may be used to describe these profit centers. Each has a separate balance sheet, which belongs to the management of the individual unit.

Both legal entities and full balance sheet responsibilities are not prerequisites for these firms. SBUs often are exclusively in charge of the asset side of the balance sheet. The parent company of the corporation is still liable for any debts and shareholder disputes. The SBU's mission is to generate a profit while making the best possible use of the resources under its control. The DuPont formula is the name of the analytical methodology we'll employ to calculate return on assets. There are at least 75 years of roots in it. However, its age does not lessen its worth. In fact, how long anything lasts speaks a lot about how beneficial it is. The DuPont Chemical Company archives have a copy of the formula. However, it was probably a modification of earlier formulations, as is the case with most analytical approaches. Nothing is really brand-new. Techniques and formulations change and are modified throughout time to benefit from fresher concepts and commercial advancements.

Assets

The number of assets allocated to a corporation will undoubtedly have an impact on its profitability. Cash, receivables, inventories, and fixed assets are some of these assets.

Cash

The amount of working capital required by the company depends on how well its management are able to forecast and control cash inflows and outflows. Profit centers may not even keep track of their cash levels in certain bigger businesses. As an alternative, cash is pooled at the corporate level to maximize return on investment. Cash will be made available to the SBUs as required.

Receivables Accounts

What credit conditions does the SBU provide to consumers when it sells them its goods or services? The sort of company the SBU is in and the level of competition will determine the response to this question. How successfully the SBU implements these rules and communicates these credit conditions to its clients will also have an impact on the accounts receivable on the SBU's books.

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the key financial statistic known as return on equity assesses the profitability and effectiveness of a firm in producing returns for its owners. It is a crucial indicator for evaluating a company's financial performance

and prospects for investors, management, and stakeholders. Stakeholders may learn more about a company's profitability, capital efficiency, and capacity to provide returns on shareholder equity by examining its ROE. To make wise investment choices and assess a company's financial health, it is crucial to take into account industry trends and company-specific elements when analyzing ROE. When analyzing ROE, it's crucial to take the industry and the firm in question into account. The profitability and capital needs of various sectors may differ, which might have an impact on ROE. Non-operational variables that may also have an influence on ROE include unusual profits or losses, accounting changes, and revisions to the equity structure.

REFERENCES

[1] N. P. A. K. Almira and N. L. P. Wiagustini, "RETURN ON ASSET, RETURN ON EQUITY, DAN EARNING PER SHARE BERPENGARUH TERHADAP RETURN SAHAM," E-Jurnal Manaj. Univ. Udayana, 2020, doi: 10.24843/ejmunud.2020.v09.i03.p13.

[2] L. V. Pointer and P. D. Khoi, "Predictors of return on assets and return on equity for banking and insurance companies on Vietnam stock exchange," Entrep. Bus. Econ. Rev., 2019, doi: 10.15678/EBER.2019.070411.

[3] J. Badruzaman, "The impact of earning per share and return on equity on stock price," Syst. Rev. Pharm., 2020, doi: 10.31838/srp.2020.6.188.

[4] J. Jufrizen and I. N. Al Fatin, "Jurnal Humaniora Pengaruh Debt To Equity Ratio , Return On Equity , Return On," J. Hum., 2020.

[5] R. Cordeiro da Cunha Araújo and M. André Veras Machado, "Book-to-Market Ratio, return on equity and Brazilian Stock Returns," RAUSP Manag. J., 2018, doi: 10.1108/RAUSP-04-2018-001.

[6] I. Rahmantio, M. Saifi, and F. Nurlaily, "Pengaruh Debt to Equity Ratio, Return on Equity, Return on Asset dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan (Studi pada Perusahaan Pertambangan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2012-2016)," J. Adm. Bisnis, 2018.

[7] H. C. Batubara and I. P. Nadia, "Pengaruh Current Ratio, Return On Equity Terhadap Harga Saham Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia," J. Ris. Finans. SEMPRO.pdf Bisnis, 2018.

[8] J. Jufrizen and I. N. Al Fatin, "Pengaruh Debt To Equity Ratio , Return On Equity , Return On," J. Hum., 2020.

[9] Y. Budi Hermanto, T. W. Panjaitan, and M. Widyastuti, "Effects Of Current Ratio And Debt-To-Equity Ratio On Return On Asset And Return On Equity," Int. J. Bus. Manag. Invent. ISSN, 2018.

[10] Sudirman, Kamaruddin, and B. T. Possumah, "The Influence of Net Profit Margin, Debt to Equity Ratio, Return on Equity and Earning per Share on the Share Prices of Consumer Goods Industry Companies in Indonesia," Int. J. Adv. Sci. Technol., 2020.