Understanding Employee Satisfaction Through Mathematical Modeling

Santosh C J (iD)

Research Scholar, Institute of Business Management & Commerce, Mangalayatan University, Aligarh, India

ABSTRACT

Background

Employee satisfaction is a critical factor in organizational success. Satisfied employees are more productive, engaged, and loyal, which leads to better overall performance. Understanding the factors that influence employee satisfaction can help organizations implement effective strategies to enhance employee well-being.

Objective

This research aims to model the changes in employee satisfaction levels (Satisfied, Neutral, Not satisfied) based on various dependencies using ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The dependencies considered in this study are work environment, salary, shift hours, leaves, perks, and timely promotions.

Methods

We defined variables representing satisfaction levels and dependencies, formulated ODEs to model the changes, and performed manual calculations to demonstrate the impact of varying each dependency. The initial proportions of employees in each satisfaction level were assumed, and the changes were calculated over a small-time interval.

Results

The model shows that improvements in work environment, salary, leaves, perks, and timely promotions positively affect satisfaction levels, while increased shift hours have a negative impact. The manual calculations illustrate how varying each dependency changes the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees over time.

Conclusion

The ODE model provides valuable insights into the dynamics of employee satisfaction. Organizations can use this model to inform policies aimed at improving employee well-being. The findings highlight the importance of a positive work environment, competitive salary, adequate leaves, attractive perks, and timely promotions in enhancing employee satisfaction.

KEYWORDS- Employee Satisfaction, ODE, Mathematical Model, Work environment, Salary, promotions, Human Resource.

I. INTRODUCTION

Employee satisfaction is a key determinant of organizational performance [1]. Satisfied employees are more productive, engaged, and likely to stay with the company, which leads to better overall performance [2]. Conversely, unsatisfied employees are more likely to be disengaged, less productive, and have higher turnover rates. Therefore, understanding the factors that influence employee satisfaction is crucial for organizations to implement effective strategies to enhance employee well-being [3].

This research aims to model how employee satisfaction levels change based on various dependencies using ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The dependencies considered in this study are the work environment, salary, shift hours, leaves, perks, and timely promotions. By modeling these dependencies, we can gain insights into the dynamics of employee satisfaction and identify which factors have the most significant impact.

The study uses a mathematical modeling approach to analyze the impact of various dependencies on employee satisfaction levels. We defined variables representing satisfaction levels and dependencies, formulated ODEs to model the changes, and performed manual calculations to demonstrate the impact of varying each dependency. The initial proportions of employees at each satisfaction level were assumed, and the changes were calculated over a small-time interval.

The findings of this research can help organizations implement effective strategies to enhance employee satisfaction. By understanding the factors that influence satisfaction levels, organizations can create a positive work environment, offer competitive salaries, provide adequate leaves, offer attractive perks, and ensure timely promotions to improve employee well-being. This, in turn, can lead to better overall performance and higher employee retention rates.

II. BACKGROUND

Employee satisfaction levels can be categorized into three groups: Satisfied, Neutral, and Not satisfied. Several factors influence these levels, including work environment, salary, shift hours, leaves, perks, and timely promotions [4]. Understanding the relationship between these dependencies and satisfaction levels can help organizations implement effective strategies to enhance employee well-being.

A positive work environment is crucial for employee satisfaction [5]. It includes factors such as a supportive and safe atmosphere, good management practices, and opportunities for professional growth. A positive work environment can significantly enhance employee satisfaction by providing a motivating atmosphere and reducing stress.

Salary is another critical factor that influences employee

satisfaction. Competitive and fair salaries are essential for employee morale and financial well-being [6]. Adequate compensation can improve morale, reduce financial stress, and increase loyalty. Conversely, inadequate salaries can lead to dissatisfaction and higher turnover rates.

Shift hours also play a significant role in employee satisfaction. Long or irregular shift hours can negatively impact satisfaction by causing fatigue, work-life imbalance, and stress [7]. Reducing shift hours or providing flexible schedules can improve satisfaction levels.

Leaves are essential for employee well-being. Adequate leave policies, including vacation, sick leave, and personal days, help employees manage personal responsibilities, reduce burnout, and improve overall satisfaction [8]. Providing sufficient leave can enhance employee satisfaction and reduce turnover rates.

Perks, such as health benefits, bonuses, and professional development opportunities, can also influence employee satisfaction [9]. Attractive perks provide additional value and recognition, which can enhance satisfaction and help retain top talent.

Timely promotions are another important factor. Employees who receive promotions in a timely manner feel recognized and valued for their contributions [10]. Timely promotions can boost morale, increase motivation, and enhance overall satisfaction. Conversely, delays in promotions can lead to frustration and dissatisfaction.

III. METHODS

A. Study design and particpants

This study uses a mathematical modeling approach to analyze the impact of various dependencies on employee satisfaction levels. The participants in this study are hypothetical employees whose satisfaction levels are modeled based on the defined dependencies.

B. Data sources

The dependencies considered in this study are the work environment, salary, shift hours, leaves, perks, and timely promotions. These dependencies were chosen based on their relevance to employee satisfaction and their potential impact on satisfaction levels.

C. Procedures

We formulated ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to represent the changes in satisfaction levels based on the dependencies. The initial proportions of employees at each satisfaction level were assumed, and the changes were calculated over a small time interval. Manual calculations were performed to demonstrate the impact of varying each dependency.

D. Outcomes:

The primary outcome of this study is the change in proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees over time. By varying each dependency, we can observe how the satisfaction levels change and identify which dependencies have the most significant impact on satisfaction and dissatisfaction.

IV. THEORY/CALCULATIONS

A. Model Framework

We defined variables representing the proportion of employees in each satisfaction level and the dependencies affecting these levels. The satisfaction levels are categorized into three groups: Satisfied ($S(t)$), Neutral ($N(t)$), and Not satisfied ($U(t)$). The dependencies considered in this study are work environment ($W(t)$), salary ($Sa(t)$), shift hours ($Sh(t)$), leaves ($L(t)$), perks ($P(t)$), and timely promotions ($Pr(t)$).

B. Vairables and their implications

1. Satisfied ($S(t)$):

Definition:

The proportion of employees who are satisfied with their job at time (t).

Implications:

A higher proportion of satisfied employees indicates a positive work environment, good management practices, and overall employee well-being. Satisfied employees are more likely to be productive, engaged, and loyal to the organization.

2. Neutral ($N(t)$):

Definition:

The proportion of employees who are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their job at time (t).

Implications:

Neutral employees may be indifferent to their work environment and may not be fully engaged. They are at risk of becoming dissatisfied if negative factors increase or becoming satisfied if positive factors improve.

3. Not satisfied ($U(t)$):

Definition:

The proportion of employees who are not satisfied with their job at time (t).

Implications:

A higher proportion of unsatisfied employees indicates issues within the organization, such as poor work environment, inadequate compensation, or lack of support. Unsatisfied employees are more likely to be disengaged, less productive, and may have higher turnover rates.

4. Work environment ($W(t)$):

Definition:

A measure of the quality of the work environment at time (t).

Implications:

A positive work environment can significantly enhance employee satisfaction by providing a supportive, safe, and motivating atmosphere. Improvements in the work environment can lead to higher satisfaction levels.

5. Salary ($Sa(t)$):

Definition:

The level of compensation employees receives at time (t).

Implications:

Competitive and fair salaries are crucial for employee satisfaction. Adequate compensation can improve morale, reduce financial stress, and increase loyalty. Conversely, inadequate salaries can lead to dissatisfaction and higher turnover rates.

6. Shift hours (Sh(t)):

Definition:

The number of hours employees are required to work in a shift at time (t).

Implications:

Long or irregular shift hours can negatively impact employee satisfaction by causing fatigue, work-life imbalance, and stress. Reducing shift hours or providing flexible schedules can improve satisfaction levels.

7. Leaves (L(t)):

Definition:

The availability and adequacy of leave policies at time (t).

Implications:

Adequate leave policies, including vacation, sick leave, and personal days, are essential for employee well-being. Sufficient leave can help employees manage personal responsibilities, reduce burnout, and improve overall satisfaction.

8. Perks (P(t)):

Definition:

Additional benefits and incentives provided to employees at time (t).

Implications:

Perks such as health benefits, bonuses, and professional development opportunities can enhance employee satisfaction by providing additional value and recognition. Attractive perks can also help in retaining top talent.

9. Timely promotions (Pr(t)):

Definition:

The frequency and timeliness of promotions given to employees at time (t).

Implications:

Timely promotions are crucial for employee motivation and recognition. Employees who receive promotions in a timely manner feel valued and appreciated for their contributions. This can boost morale, increase motivation, and enhance overall satisfaction. Conversely, delays in promotions can lead to frustration and dissatisfaction.

C. Derivation of the equation

1. Define Variables:
   - (S(t)) represents the proportion of satisfied employees at time (t).
   - (N(t)) represents the proportion of neutral employees at time (t).
   - (U(t)) represents the proportion of unsatisfied employees at time (t).

2. Dependencies as Functions:
   - Work environment: (W(t))
   - Salary: (Sa(t))
   - Shift hours: (Sh(t))
   - Leaves: (L(t))
   - Perks: (P(t))
   - Timely promotions: (Pr(t))

3. Formulate ODEs:

The rate of change of satisfied employees depends positively on improvements in the work environment, salary, leaves, perks, and timely promotions, and negatively on shift hours.

$$\left[\frac{dS}{dt} = a_1 W(t) + a_2 Sa(t) + a_3 L(t) + a_4 P(t) + a_5 Pr(t) - a_6 Sh(t)\right]$$

The rate of change of neutral employees can be modeled based on these dependencies.

$$\left[\frac{dN}{dt} = b_1 W(t) + b_2 Sa(t) + b_3 L(t) + b_4 P(t) + b_5 Pr(t) - b_6 Sh(t)\right]$$

The rate of change of unsatisfied employees can be modeled based on these dependencies.

$$\left[\frac{dU}{dt} = c_1 W(t) + c_2 Sa(t) + c_3 L(t) + c_4 P(t) + c_5 Pr(t) - c_6 Sh(t)\right]$$

4. Assign Coefficients:

Assign coefficients (ai), (bi), and (ci) to represent the sensitivity of satisfaction levels to each dependency. For simplicity, let's assume the following coefficients:

- $(a_1 = 0.1), (a_2 = 0.1), (a_3 = 0.05), (a_4 = 0.05), (a_5 = 0.05), (a_6 = 0.1)$
- $(b_1 = 0.05), (b_2 = 0.05), (b_3 = 0.025), (b_4 = 0.025), (b_5 = 0.025), (b_6 = 0.05)$
- $(c_1 = 0.02), (c_2 = 0.02), (c_3 = 0.01), (c_4 = 0.01), (c_5 = 0.01), (c_6 = 0.02)$

5. Substitute Coefficients

Substitute the coefficients into the equations:

- $\left[\frac{dS}{dt} = 0.1W(t) + 0.1Sa(t) + 0.05L(t) + 0.05P(t) + 0.05Pr(t) - 0.1Sh(t)\right]$
- $\left[\frac{dN}{dt} = 0.05W(t) + 0.05Sa(t) + 0.025L(t) + 0.025P(t) + 0.025Pr(t) - 0.05Sh(t)\right]$
- $\left[\frac{dU}{dt} = 0.02W(t) + 0.02Sa(t) + 0.01L(t) + 0.01P(t) + 0.01Pr(t) - 0.02Sh(t)\right]$

6. Interpretation

These equations indicate how the rate of change of satisfied, neutral, and unsatisfied employees is influenced by the dependencies. Positive coefficients indicate a positive influence, while negative coefficients indicate a negative influence.

7. Demonstration

Assume initial proportions:

(S(0) = 0.5),

(N(0) = 0.3),

(U(0) = 0.2).

   Vary (W(t)) by 0.1:

$$\left[\frac{dS}{dt} = 0.01, \quad \frac{dN}{dt} = 0.005, \quad \frac{dU}{dt} = 0.002\right]$$

After one month:

$$[S(1) = 0.51, \quad N(1) = 0.305, \quad U(1) = 0.202]$$

V. RESULTS

The model demonstrates that improvements in work environment, salary, leaves, perks, and timely promotions positively affect employee satisfaction levels, while increased shift hours have a negative impact. The manual calculations show how varying each dependency changes the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees over time.

We performed manual calculations to demonstrate the impact of varying dependency. The initial proportions of employees at each satisfaction level were assumed to be 50% satisfied, 30% neutral, and 20% not satisfied. We then varied each dependency by 0.1 (10%) and calculated the changes over a small-time interval (one month). Table 1 shows the output.

Table 1: Model output

Dependency	Change in (S(t))	Change in (N(t))	Change in (U(t))
Work environment	+0.01	+0.005	+0.002
Salary	+0.01	+0.005	+0.002
Shift hours	-0.01	-0.005	-0.002
Leaves	+0.005	+0.0025	+0.001
Perks	+0.005	+0.0025	+0.001
Timely promotions	+0.005	+0.0025	+0.001

A. Varying Work Environment

When the work environment (W(t)) was increased by 0.1,

- The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.01.
- The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.005.
- The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.002.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 51%, 30.5%, and 20.2%, respectively.

B. Varying salary

When the salary (Sa(t)) was increased by 0.1,

- The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.01.
- The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.005.
- The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.002.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 51%, 30.5%, and 20.2%, respectively.

C. Varying Shift Hours

When the shift hours (Sh(t)) were increased by 0.1,

The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ decreased by 0.01.

The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ decreased by 0.005.

The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ decreased by 0.002.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 49%, 29.5%, and 19.8%, respectively.

D. Varying Leaves

When the leaves (L(t)) were increased by 0.1,

The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.005.

The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.0025.

The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.001.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 50.5%, 30.25%, and 20.1%, respectively.

E. Varying Perks

When the perks (P(t)) were increased by 0.1,

The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.005.

The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.0025.

The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.001.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 50.5\%, 30.25\%, and 20.1\%, respectively.

F. Varying Timely Promotions

When the timely promotions (Pr(t)) were increased by 0.1,

The rate of change of satisfied employees $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.005.

The rate of change of neutral employees $\left(\frac{dN}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.0025.

The rate of change of unsatisfied employees $\left(\frac{dU}{dt}\right)$ increased by 0.001.

After one month, the proportions of satisfied, neutral, and not satisfied employees were approximately 50.5\%, 30.25\%, and 20.1\%, respectively.

The results indicate that improvements in work environment and salary have the highest positive impact on satisfaction, increasing the proportion of satisfied employees by 1\% each. Conversely, increased shift hours have the highest negative impact on satisfaction, decreasing the proportion of satisfied employees by 1\%. Leaves, perks, and timely promotions have a moderate positive impact on satisfaction, increasing the proportion of satisfied employees by 0.5\% each.

These findings highlight the importance of a positive work environment, competitive salary, adequate leaves, attractive perks, and timely promotions in enhancing employee satisfaction. Organizations can use these insights to inform policies aimed at improving employee well-being and overall performance.

VI. DISCUSSION

The ODE model provides a quantitative framework to understand the dynamics of employee satisfaction. It highlights the importance of a positive work environment, competitive salary, adequate leaves, perks, and timely promotions in enhancing employee satisfaction. The findings suggest that improvements in the work environment and salary have the most significant positive impact on satisfaction levels, while increased shift hours have the most significant negative impact.

However, the model has some limitations. It assumes linear relationships between dependencies and satisfaction levels, which may not capture the complexity of real-world scenarios. Additionally, the model is based on hypothetical data and assumptions, which may not accurately reflect actual employee satisfaction levels. Further research is needed to validate the model with empirical data and explore non-linear relationships between dependencies and satisfaction levels.

VII. CONCLUSION

The ODE model offers valuable insights into the factors influencing employee satisfaction. Organizations can use this model to inform policies aimed at improving employee well-being. The findings highlight the importance of a positive work environment, competitive salary, adequate leaves, attractive perks, and timely promotions in enhancing employee satisfaction. Improvements in the work environment and salary have the most significant positive impact on satisfaction levels, while increased shift hours have the most significant negative impact.

Improvements in work environment, salary, leaves, perks, and timely promotions positively affect satisfaction levels, while increased shift hours have a negative impact. Future studies should validate the model with empirical data and explore non-linear relationships between dependencies and satisfaction levels.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] D. C. Sikowo, G. S. Namusonge, E. N. Makokha, and A. K. Nyagechi, "Determinants of employee satisfaction on organizational performance: A survey of Trans Nzoia County Government," International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 77–94, 2016. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v6-i10/2337

[2] S. Markos and M. S. Sridevi, "Employee engagement: The key to improving performance," International Journal of Business and Management, vol. 5, no. 12, p. 89, 2010. Available from: https://shorturl.at/kokNV

[3] C. E. Nwachukwu, H. Chládková, and F. Olatunji, "The relationship between employee commitment to strategy implementation and employee satisfaction," Trends Economics and Management, vol. 12, no. 31, pp. 46–56, 2018. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.13164/trends.2018.31.45

[4] F. A. Baycan, "An analysis of the several aspects of job satisfaction between different occupational groups," M.A. thesis, Bogazici University, Institute for Graduate Studies in Social Sciences, 1985. Available from: https://acikbilim.yok.gov.tr/handle/20.500.12812/326256

[5] A. Raziq and R. Maulabakhsh, "Impact of working environment on job satisfaction," Procedia Economics and Finance, vol. 23, pp. 717–725, 2015. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00524-9

[6] S. Yousaf, M. Latif, S. Aslam, and A. Saddiqui, "Impact of financial and non-financial rewards on employee motivation," Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 1776–1786, 2014. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2014.21.10.21756

[7] H. L. Fuse IV, "Irregular shiftwork, quality of work life and job satisfaction: A correlational study," Ph.D. dissertation, Grand Canyon University, 2023. Available from: https://shorturl.at/eZa6i

[8] M. Westman and D. Etzion, "The impact of vacation and job stress on burnout and absenteeism," Psychology & Health, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 595–606, 2001. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440108405529

[9] I. Wokoma, "Welfare package and employee job satisfaction," in 3rd International Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa, 2023, pp. 744. Available from: https://www.ijsre.com.ng/assets/vol.%2C-16(2)-ibifaka-samuel-wokoma.pdf

[10] S. Dibble, Keeping Your Valuable Employees: Retention Strategies for Your Organization's Most Important Resource. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1999. Available from: https://shorturl.at/7kdim

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Santosh C J a dedicated and experienced professional currently serving as a Resource Manager at Kyndryl. With a strong background in human resource management, I have played a key role in optimizing resource allocation and ensuring efficient project execution.

Regarding my education, I hold a master's degree in business management. I have also contributed to academic research, with several journal articles to my name. Some of my notable works include studies on COVID-19 prevention strategies, infectious disease transmission models, and the application of diagnostic analytics during the pandemic. Additionally, I have authored books on HTML & CSS and Outsourcing.