International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Special Issue-10, October-2022
Innovative Projects 2022
Organized by Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Inventory of Accounting and Financing

Dr. Akhila Udupa
Associate Professor, Master In Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id:- akhila.udupa@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

Inventory is a crucial component of accounting and financing in organizations across various industries. This abstract explores the concept of inventory, its significance, and the accounting and financing aspects associated with its management. It highlights the importance of accurate inventory valuation, tracking, and control for financial reporting, decision-making, and operational efficiency. Additionally, it discusses the various inventory valuation methods, such as FIFO (First-In, First-Out) and LIFO (Last-In, First-Out), and their impact on financial statements and taxation. Inventory represents the goods or materials held by a company for production, sale, or consumption as part of its normal business operations. It is a key asset that directly impacts a company's financial position, profitability, and cash flow. Accurate inventory accounting is essential for financial reporting purposes, including the preparation of balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements.

KEYWORDS

Inventory Turnover, LIFO (Last-In, First-Out), Perpetual Inventory System, Physical Inventory, Raw Materials, Retail Inventory Method.

I. INTRODUCTION

This investment is impacted by a wide range of factors, including as supply-chain management, how vertically integrated the organization is, and how well it is handled. How much of the whole manufacturing process is carried out inside by the business as opposed to being outsourced is referred to as the degree of vertical integration. The quantity of the value-adding process that is produced by the firm increases with the degree of vertical integration of the business. An oil business that is vertically integrated is one that drills for oil, owns and runs the pipelines and refineries, stores the produced product, and manages a sizable network of retail gas stations along the highways of our country. Some businesses, on the other hand, own and manage networks of retail gas stations, buying the gasoline they sell from distributors or, more often, fully integrated oil firms. With a few no exceptions, a corporation will often hold more inventory for longer periods of time the more vertically integrated its activities.

Static Assets

The business's investment in fixed assets is covered under the prior topic of vertical integration. A vertically integrated business will need to make significant fixed asset investments and commit to preserving those investments [1]–[3]. A company's investment in fixed assets will be significantly impacted by the sort of business it is in and the methods it chooses to use to execute that operation. When producing the same quantity, a three-shift operation needs less equipment than a two- or one-shift business. So the issue is: Why would a business operate on a one-shift basis with all the additional equipment it needs when it can do so on a three-shift basis with less equipment? The answer is no, unless other variables came into play.

A machine-driven mass-production operation where the products are the same or similar and value-added worker input is not that crucial is best suited for a three-shift operation. Chemical, steel, and paper firms are among examples. A company that conducts a one-shift operation and needs very highly trained workers or significant supervision may be the most profit. To operate continuously, there may not be enough high-quality workers or supervision on hand. Custom-designed items need intense managerial focus. Additionally, the companies for whom 24-hour operations are ideal are often ones in which it would be prohibitively costly to shut down the machinery, necessitating continuous operation instead. It is not feasible to shut down a steel furnace at 5 p.m. and restart it the next morning. If a chemical factory were shut down, cleaning the kettles before they could be restarted would take weeks, and only if the exact same combination was being produced could it be done swiftly.

If the process is consistently high-quality and efficient, three-shift operations may be quite effective. The efficiency and quality of the work completed on the second and third shifts may not be accepted when very highly qualified people are needed. The choice to operate a one, two, or three shift operation will be influenced by a variety of factors, all of which will affect the product's profit margin. The DuPont formula is quite helpful in evaluating this problem and coming to judgments about it and other issues of a similar kind, as we will see. The production of automobiles in 2015 is a highly technologically advanced industry that makes use of robots. These manufacturing organizations successfully operate a three-shift operation because production activities are so technology-driven. Additionally, they avoid the shortcomings of a one-shift business. The earlier automakers were well known for their uneven quality. When production was labor-intensive, quality was best on Tuesdays through Thursdays and worst on Fridays for autos. The most absence from work occurred on Friday, when temporary, less qualified staff were utilized. The quality differentials caused by the absence were generally known to top management and finally the general public [4]–[6].

II. DISCUSSION

Revenue

How much business can the profit center produce with the resources allocated to it? Efficiency, how much value is integrated into the product, and how much of the process is outsourced are the concerns at hand. Companies that outsource the whole manufacturing process, like warehouse distributors, may considerably increase their revenues with little new fixed asset investment. To generate the increased income, just inventory and accounts receivable will need to be increased.

Following-Tax Cash Flow

How much profit is made based on the revenue the company generates? The sort of firm, economies of scale, capacity utilization, and operational efficiency all play a role in this. The degree of vertical integration and value-added processes have a significant impact on it.

Return on Assets: Its Substantial Parts

The revenue/assets and ATCF/revenue ratios combine to form the return on assets ratio. A measure of asset turnover is revenue/assets. Conceptually, it is identical to inventory turnover, with the exception that it includes all assets. The margin is the second ratio, which is defined as ATCF/revenue. The return on assets is calculated by multiplying the asset turnover by the margin. However, the value of the DuPont formula is far more than the sum of its parts. The two ratios, asset turnover and margin, often change in opposing directions as a result of company actions. Therefore, the two ratios are useful tools for assessing the performance of the SBU as well as providing the management of the SBU with a tool for decision-making.

Here are a few instances:

1. By paying the providing vendor for the profit that was previously maintained in-house, outsourcing increases turnover but decreases margin.
2. Because the corporation maintains the profit made at each stage of the process, vertical integration increases margins. However, when more machinery is required to create the product, asset turnover decreases.

Asset turnover increases as a result of continuous 24-hour operation, which minimizes the quantity of equipment required. Surprisingly, profits could also increase since efficiency might increase with fewer machine starts-ups. But if sales don't keep up with the constant production, inventory may dangerously accumulate, and profits may subsequently worsen as a consequence of price cuts to move the goods.

The DuPont formula is beneficial in the following two primary business activities:

1. Evaluation of performance

2. Making decisions in management

It does not, however, decide anything. Despite how valuable it could be; it is just a tool. Management must base its decisions on what the anticipated outcome would be after making the choice.

In order to better understand the DuPont formula, we will examine three SBUs inside a corporation as the next step in refining the application of this instrument. Line 1: Income. The most recent year saw strong sales growth for all three companies. The Joseph Company has yearly sales of $10 million, while The Flanagan Company has revenues

of $50 million, making it the biggest of the three. Cash flow after taxes, line 2. This is the sum of the net income of each firm plus the depreciation expenditure, which is added back to determine the cash flows produced. Total assets are shown on line three. The entire assets allocated to each firm are shown below. Ideally, shared property is not included in this calculation and no overhead costs are distributed across the several enterprises. Margin, on line 4. This is revenue for ATCF.

This evaluates effectiveness and reflects all operational choices made by the SBU management team, as we have already mentioned. Wilson has the largest margin, as you can see [7]–[10]. Line 5: Turnover of assets. These three companies all depend heavily on their assets. Any asset turnover ratio of 2.0 indicates a significant investment in assets in comparison to the income such assets provide. Return on assets, line 6. Line 4 times line 5 results in this. Line 2 by Line 3 may also be divided to compute it. Wilson's margin is the greatest, and its asset turnover is Now that corporate management has a tool at its disposal, it can assess how well these three SBUs are doing. The SBU management teams also use a congruent decision-making tool. Consistent usage of this instrument enables accurate measurement as well as comprehension of whether certain selections will enhance corporate performance.

Please understand that we are not attempting to compare the three SBUs. We don't even know whether they work in comparable sectors or what kind of firms they are in. The performance of Flanagan Company in its industry may be better than that of Joseph Company. However, the corporate management could take these metrics into consideration when determining how much cash to provide each firm in the future [5], [11], [12]. The ROA formula has several variations, but they are all basically the same. Here are a few examples:

Return on invested money

Return on capital invested Return on managed assets % of net assets returned

After-tax cash flow was utilized in Exhibit 7-1. The net gain would have been almost as good. Operating income is often used as a benchmark for success. This is useful if company management wishes to take taxes and interest costs out of the calculation. The underlying assumption is that SBUs are exempt from corporate income taxes and debt financing. As a result, these corporate costs shouldn't be included in measures of them. When examining certain items or product lines as profit centers, gross profit is a highly helpful metric. EBITDA is one metric that some businesses and analysts use to gauge operational success. This pretax cash flow number acknowledges that finance and tax matters should be handled by the corporation as a whole rather than the SBU.

Territory Sales

The administration of sales territory inside a profit center may be done using the DuPont formula. It allows each sales team the chance to decide how to react to the unique competitive pressures that it is experiencing. If necessary, it provides for several strategic approaches. To safeguard the business, firm regulations that restrict the choices that SBUs may make might be created. Each sales team is solely responsible for its actions and performance within these parameters. The manufacturing operation and the sales organization establish a financial relationship. Three sales areas and one manufacturing entity are present in this case.

1. Results of actual revenue are reported.

2. The merchandise is "purchased" by the sales regions for a predetermined price of $1.00. This pricing is based on the market and gives the manufacturer a profit. The area makes purchases according to its estimation of future needs and within limits established by the corporation. The size and logistics of the area, as well as customer service concerns, greatly influence that choice.

3. A report of gross profit is made. Although each area paid the same $1.00 buying price, the selling prices vary, which causes the gross profit margins to vary as well. The West area most likely sells more items each order, which leads to lower pricing and thus lower profitability. Compared to the other two areas, Central has bigger margins. Superior performance, a lack of rivalry, or a combination of these things might be to blame for this.

4. Territory management spends the money it deems necessary to promote to and serve the market. Due to competition, North could employ more salesmen and/or offer larger commissions. Take note of the bad debts Central has been billed for. Because a particular region may employ more lenient credit terms as a component of its marketing strategy, it is held account if the clients fail to pay.

5. Profit center revenue is disclosed. Revenue minus Cost of Goods Sold Equals Gross Profit Minus Particular Expenses equals Profit Center Earnings.

6. Receivables: Each area is in charge of the credit it extends to its clients. The territory has the last say on whether a prospective consumer is creditworthy, but the company accounting department may handle all the credit administration and checks. The area is thus controlled.

7. Inventory: Territories order goods from the manufacturer based on their projected sales. Forecasts are the responsibility of the sales territories. They have both unsold goods and goods that they need to be delivered quickly in their inventory, which is recorded in their records. To maintain a competitive delivery service, territories must out how much inventory they must have on hand. This plan is the responsibility of each area. With this strategy, the merchandise in the warehouse does not need to be physically managed by sales regions. However, it makes them accoun for the inventory levels and mixture that are kept on their behalf.

8. Total assets: This is the amount of working capital that the territory manages.

9. Margin: Revenue divided by earnings

11. Earnings / Assets: Return on Assets

The greatest return on assets, 31.9 percent, is in the West region. Despite having smaller margins than the other areas, it invests relatively little in inventories and accounts receivables. Although West has a greater ROA than the others, we cannot be certain that it is "better" than them. What is the present market share and potential in each area are additional questions that need to be taken into account? Is North more successful in a highly competitive market, whereas West's market has less competition? The DuPont formula is unquestionably a useful tool with an eye on profit. It may be a crucial instrument for smart sales management.

You'll see that the plant is a source of revenue as well. It sells to the sales territory at a set, market-based price so that it may be awarded credit for good or negative efficiencies or held account for them. Margin, turnover, and return on assets are used to evaluate the factory as an SBU, and it is in charge of its own assets. It is responsible for managing its own inventory, allowing it to schedule manufacturing runs to maximum efficiency.

A company with no "Assets"

This of the Return on Assets debate concentrates on a company that, strictly speaking, has no assets. Think of a business that does pharmaceutical research, a CPA firm, or a management consulting firm. Computers and office equipment can be the sole "accounting assets". The value of the company is created by the billable experts and their support team, who are the "real assets."

Different iterations of revenue per employee serve as the primary ratio that aids in evaluating these companies. The basic ratio the connection between billable and non-billable employees, as well as the firm's general efficiency, all influence the ratio of billed revenue per employee to the total number of employees. The company must charge two to three times the employee's entire cost for each billable employee. This includes all direct expenses as well as the company's earnings and overhead.

Allocations for Overhead

According to generally accepted accounting principles, when companies prepare their financial reports for the Internal Revenue Service, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and some sector-specific regulatory bodies, they must allocate their overhead costs to specific profit centers. This computation may take into account a wide range of factors, including income, direct costs, produced units, direct labor dollars or hours, and square footage utilized. Incorrectly, it is often assumed that the approach required for regulatory compliance also applies to intelligent management decision-making. Nothing is falser than it is.

It Encourages Politics

Politicians quarrel among themselves because of the procedure of assigning overhead costs to various businesses. When a key business unit's management team excels as a consequence of its contribution to the company's increased profitability, this is a successful outcome, and the firm as a whole benefit. However, when expenditures are assigned, a manager who understands how to game the methodology might enhance the image of his department by having charges approved by other operational units. Politics is when one profit center is favored at the detriment of another without the firm as a whole benefitting in any way.

It prevents the introduction of new products.

Traditional accounting approach allots a share of the current overhead to that product when evaluating the profitability of a new product. As a result, the price of the new product rises and estimations of its profit-making

potential are grossly understated. Only expenses that are unique to a new product should be included in the analysis of that product. It is not necessary to incorporate existing overhead in the analysis if it is unaffected.

It Understates the Business's Ability to Make a Profit Above Budgeted Volume Regardless of volume, overhead allocations are given to all items. Even when the corporation has already earned enough revenue to cover the real corporate overhead, the accounting department will continue to charge these overhead allocations to the individual items when sales exceed forecasted expectations. The fiscal year will not conclude until these bogus charges have been added. This causes a significant misrepresentation of the real earnings of any firm that has over its sales budget and may result in the corporation underpaying unit managers who outperform their sales targets. This extra overhead will be deducted from the charges after the company's fiscal year is finished. Being "over absorbed" is the accounting phrase for this. But the business distortion that existed before that point remains after this repair.

It Suppresses Market Aggression

Actually, incremental business is more professional than the financial data indicates. Longer production runs and more effective raw material procurement are made possible by larger client orders. Traditional accounting data does not take this into account.

Because overhead costs are ascribed to the items regardless of volume, the potential profitability of providing price reductions on bigger customer orders due to these benefits may not be realized.

It exaggerates the cost savings from removing "marginal" products.

With the exception of the following circumstances, a corporation should never remove items from its mix:

1. There is no chance to fix the problem when the product gets a negative contribution margin.

2. The product is a quality catastrophe that will harm how the market perceives the whole company.

3. The business is almost at capacity and need the additional room, personnel, and equipment to increase its profit margins.

A product with a positive cash flow loses that cash flow if it is eliminated. Why is this subject unclear? since removing a product will save on the variable labor expenses and the associated overhead expenditures, according to our accounting systems. Anyone who has managed an enterprise will tell you that labor expenses are more fixed than variable. When volume decreases, they won't be much, if at all, reduced. Additionally, since neither the facility nor the personnel departments are smaller, overhead will not be decreased.

If overhead costs are excessive, sui measures should be implemented based on their individual merits. But it is oversimplified and often untrue to assume that all expenses would decrease as a result of the abolition of a product.

GAAP and the IRS

Businesses should continue to uphold their accounting obligations. We provide no recommendations here that address regulatory problems. To make wise business judgments and choices, marketing and operations managers should have the product and performance information they need. Management information and accounting compliance are not incompatible objectives.

Effects of Various Cost Allocation Issues on Profits

Let's examine a business with three profit centers to further understand these problems. the Mid-dlesex Products Company's yearly accomplishments. The business provides excellent customer service and is highly professional. Each of the three profit centers focuses on a different market and operates as a unit that functions somewhat independently.

Revenue

Each profit center has created a price structure that corresponds to what customers in that market need and want. Some profit centers may engage in direct sales, while others may do so via distributors or representatives. Their product combinations will undoubtedly vary. We'll suppose each key business unit has sold 100,000 units of the product for the sake of simplicity.

Explicit Costs

This covers all charges and fees related to profit centers. What counts as direct costs

1. Each profit center must be clearly linked to these expenses. Expenses for running the business, paying employees, and any services or tasks that the profit center contracts out to other parties are all included in these expenditures.

2. The expenses have to be added-on to the profit center. They are not distributed among the profit centers, and if the account profit center went out of business, they would also.

3. These expenses might be set or variable. They might be supporting charges or a component of the product. If such expenses were allocated to a certain profit center, they may also include charges for accounting, engineering, and product design.

4. The profit center management team must be able to handle some of the expenditures that fall within its purview. The management team can control the amount bought, the method of delivery, the product source, and if there is any value added to what is purchased, but it cannot control the price at which a natural resource is obtained.

Corporate Expenses

This covers all of the back-up activities required for the smooth operation of the complete business, such as accounting, legal, corporate employees, and management information systems. Additionally, it encompasses all support costs for all profit centers together, which are truly not split up among them. If, for instance, all the profit centers were located in a single structure, this structure would be regarded as a component of corporate overhead.

Profit

Gross profit percentages are calculated by dividing gross profit by revenue. Corporate profit is calculated by deducting corporate expenses from the total gross profit of all businesses. At this stage, a study of how overhead allocations impact performance views would be quite beneficial.

Which approach is right? The pro or con of Profit Center C? The answer relies on the allocation strategy that the accounting department has chosen. Regarding the requirements of GAAP, all are adequate. The accounting division will examine the business' activities and make an effort to choose the formula or approach that it believes to be the most accurate. The outcomes, nevertheless, will be the same: Depending on the statistical approach used, decisions will be made. Will these choices enhance the company as many believe they will? Let's examine some of those choices and concentrate on the options that would serve the Middlesex Products Company the best.

1. Do all profit centers support the enterprise's profitability?

In a word, yeah. There is a positive contribution margin for each of the three. Each has more than enough money to meet all of the charges and expenses related to its particular firm.

2. How might Middlesex management address high administrative costs?

not by transferring it to the profit centers and requesting that they find a way to pay for it. Holding such departments account for their own performance, reducing their budgets, and/or expecting them to raise their performance is the greatest way to get rid of superfluous overhead.

Excessive expenditure on operational units won't make the issue go away. Instead, it places the burden of solving issues outside the control and without the involvement of the profit center teams. To make up for others' inefficiencies, measures like raising selling prices and lowering product quality are no longer an option.

Since high standards of product quality and customer service are no longer optional, corporations were compelled to hold corporate leadership account for their performance from 2007 to 2009. Middle- and higher-level managers made up a large portion of the millions of employees who lost their employment during that time period because their employers could no longer afford the luxury of keeping them on the payroll. These positions won't be eliminated. Due to economic pressure, responsibility is rising across the board, and all of those corporate employee positions are no longer in existence.

3. Which area of the company might Middlesex management anticipate to see increased profitability?

Why not every one of them? The extent to which each of the profit centers may increase its profitability is unknown. For Profit Center B, a 20% increase in profits may be fairly simple, but for Profit Center C, it would be difficult. In comparison to Profit Center A's market, where the gross profit was 40%, Profit Center C's market may have performed better with a 20% gross profit. To assess what are realistic expectations, we would need to evaluate each profit center against its corresponding rivals. Potential must be compared to achievement. It is highly beneficial to

study management approaches used by other businesses. However, you cannot make decisions that will benefit the firm as a whole by comparing financial measures across organizations that are not comparable to one another.

4. What would be the ideal product combination, theoretically speaking?

Expanding Profit Center A's business at the cost of Profit Center C would increase gross profit by $4.00 per unit if Profit Center A made a gross profit of $6.00 per unit and Profit Center C made a gross profit of $2.00 per unit. The ranking of these profit centers by gross profit dollars, keeping these statistics as straightforward as possible, is: $7.00 per unit for profit center B $6 per unit for profit center A. Unit Price: $2.00 in Profit Center C However, the order is altered if you rank the profit centers by gross profit percentage: 40% profit center A 35% in Profit Center B 20% profit center C

If you look at your company's financial accounts, you'll see that, while their monetary effect is more important, accountants often order product profitability by percentages.

5. Should the fact that Profit Center C's gross profit % is the same as the organization-wide average for Middlesex Products constitute grounds for divesting? Never should Middlesex Products firm close a firm with a positive gross profit unless: a. The inferior quality of the company's other operations.

A limited amount of productive capacity is available for more profit firms.

b. Supporting the product demands an excessive amount of profit expenditure.

6. Why even make decisions if all three of these companies are profit?

If: a. There is enough capacity to enable all of these enterprises to flourish, then we are not required to choose between them.

b. The business can afford to provide enough money for everyone to succeed.

c. This funding's ROI is higher than the business's discounted cash flow hurdle rate.

A decision on the product mix has to be made promptly if Middlesex does not satisfy any one of these three requirements. These develop into strategic problems with long-term solutions. It could be necessary to sell one of the profit centers in order to fund the others. Perhaps the cash flow produced by the more established enterprises should be used to fund the profit center with the most potential future.

Both this product and the other three can increase in the near future thanks to more than enough capacity. The product has passed testing and is really nice. Cross-selling opportunities and other synergistic advantages with the other businesses may exist, but they have not been taken into account. Depending on the profitability metric you choose, you will get various results.

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, in accounting and finance, inventory is crucial. For financial reporting, decision-making, and operational effectiveness, accurate inventory valuation, monitoring, and control are essential. Financial statements and taxes are impacted by different inventory value techniques. Improved customer service, cost savings, and assistance for informed decision-making are all benefits of effective inventory management. To achieve correct accounting, ideal financing, and effective operations, organizations should use comprehensive inventory management methods and systems. Organizations may gain a lot from efficient inventory management and control. They can satisfy consumer requests, prevent stockouts, and save carrying costs thanks to it. Accurate inventory information helps with well-informed choices on pricing tactics, production scheduling, and inventory reorder points. Additionally, it assists in identifying slow-moving or outdated inventories and controlling supply chain hazards.

REFERENCES

[1] D. A. Putra and M. Arfan, “ANALISIS IMPLEMENTASI PSAK 105 AKUNTANSI PEMBIAYAAN MUDHARABAH PADA BANK MUAMALAT CABANG BANDA ACEH,” J. Ilm. Mhs. Ekon. Akunt., 2020, doi: 10.24815/jimeka.v5i3.16061.

[2] S. Astika and A. Suarni, “ANALISIS PENERAPAN AKUNTANSI SYARIAH BERDASARKAN PSAK 102 PADA PEMBIAYAAN MURABAHAH DI PT. BANK BNI SYARIAH CABANG MAKASSAR,” Ar-Ribh J. Ekon. Islam, 2018, doi: 10.26618/jei.v1i1.2553.

[3] L. P. Putri, “Evaluasi perlakuan akuntansi persediaan pada Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan Republik Indonesia,” SKRIPSI-2018, 2018.

[4] D. Chen, M. Liu, T. Ma, and X. Martin, "Accounting quality and trade credit," Account. Horizons, 2017, doi: 10.2308/acch-51711.
[5] D. A. Putra and M. Arfan, "MUDHARABAH PADA BANK MUAMALAT CABANG BANDA ACEH," J. Ilm. Mhs. Ekon. Akunt., 2020.
[6] L. Chen, A. G. Kök, and J. D. Tong, "The effect of payment schemes on inventory decisions: The role of mental accounting," Manage. Sci., 2013, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.1120.1638.
[7] I. IVAN, "The importance of professional judgement applied in the context of the International Financial Reporting Standards," Audit Financ., 2016, doi: 10.20869/auditf/2016/142/1127.
[8] Mardiaton, Aliamin, and M. Arfan, "REVIEW OF ISLAMIC ACCOUNTING ON MURĀBAHAH FINANCING ACCOUNTING ON ISLAMIC BANKING (STUDY IN BANK SYARIAH MANDIRI OF BANDA ACEH)," Int. J. Bus. Manag. Econ. Rev., 2019, doi: 10.35409/ijbmer.2019.2422.
[9] D. Stice, E. K. Stice, and J. D. Stice, "Cash Flow Problems Can Kill Profi Companies," Int. J. Bus. Adm., 2017, doi: 10.5430/ijba.v8n6p46.
[10] T. Thurner, "Supply chain finance and blockchain technology – the case of reverse securitisation," foresight, 2018, doi: 10.1108/fs-08-2018-099.
[11] I. Milojević, S. Krstić, and M. Ćurčić, "Optimisation of accounting model of inventory management in the textile industry," Ind. Textila, 2021, doi: 10.35530/IT.072.02.1769.