Strategic Choices in Commercial Sector

Hamza Khan,
Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id-hamzakhan@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

The decisions and activities that firms take to accomplish their long-term objectives and competitive advantage are referred to as strategic choices in the commercial sector. This abstract examines the idea of strategic decisions in the business world, highlighting its definition, essential elements, and aspects affecting decision-making. It looks at the numerous corporate strategy options, including market positioning, product differentiation, diversification, and strategic alliances. The conclusion of the abstract emphasizes the significance of strategic thinking and adaptation in navigating the changing business environment. The abstract also addresses the keywords connected with strategic decisions.

KEYWORDS

Commercial Sector, Competitive Advantage, Diversification, Strategic Choices, Strategic Partnerships, Strategic Thinking.

I. INTRODUCTION

The responsibility of the board to safeguard the interests of the corporation and its minority shareholders, as well as the broad adoption of good corporate governance practices, would be advantageous to managers. The management may theoretically be protected from powerful shareholders by legislative restrictions and market norms. A firm with diverse ownership is also often managed by its managers, who also frequently get higher salaries and have access to stock option plans [1]–[3]. A listed companys board of directors and management are required to abide by a substantial set of legal requirements and corporate governance standards created to increase its effectiveness. A limitation could be imposed by increased transparency and the market for control. Going public may have a number of advantages for the company: A stock exchange listing provides a market and market value for the companys shares. The corporation may find it simpler to demand a higher price for the shares it issues if the market values those shares and makes it easier for holders to sell them. A market will typically assist a corporation in increasing the number of its shareholders. Additionally, some institutional investors, including pension funds, are prohibited from investing in securities that are not liquid by internal regulations.

The number of institutional investors who could be interested in purchasing the shares will rise along with the share price as a result of a stock exchange listing. A market value makes it simpler for the business to accept payment in the form of its shares. For instance, tradeable shares make it simpler for the firm to launch share option program. Shares may also be used as a form of payment in takeovers. A stock market listing makes it simpler for the companys founders and current owners to sell their shares. In other words, a listing may make it simpler for the company to get funds for expansion. The issues are vulnerability to market circumstances, expenses, disclosure mandates, loss of privacy, and possible loss of control. A stock might decline for a variety of reasons. It costs money to list a company on an exchange: a Share prices may not always accurately represent a companys quality. Smaller companies, for instance, may experience share price volatility due to the illiquidity of their shares. a Going public will incur significant one-time expenses. c In addition, it takes a lot of management time to comply with stock market listing criteria. d Listed firms are subject to a comprehensive and intricate regulatory framework based on IFRS and other accounting standards, capital markets legislation, stock exchange regulations, and company law.

Both in the EU and the US, there is an increase in regulation. e Because of this, a listed firm is required to implement a compliance plan, which may be costly. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act in the US is a prime example of legislation that raises the compliance expenses for publicly traded corporations. f Strict information management and disclosure

regulations must be followed by listed firms. g Listed corporations are more open than unlisted ones because of disclosure requirements. The companys loss of privacy may benefit rivals as well as investors. If listed corporations dont use structural takeover barriers, they are open to takeover. Anyone may purchase a share block that grants significant corporate rights, and anyone may submit a bid for the whole business. i Short-term shareholders such as hedge funds, investment funds, and private equity companies may attempt to persuade the company to advance their own short-term interests over the companys long-term ones. For instance, in order to force managers to behave in the short-term interests of shareholders, short-term stockholders might accept extravagant compensation packages and share option plans [4], [5].

If shareholders and management agree to align their interests for their own short-term gain, the business organization of the company has a lower chance of long-term survival. j Listed corporations are also subject to stringent regulations regarding the equal treatment of shareholders. In reality, in the early 2000s, five factors private equity and the takeover market, firm profitability, access to debt and interest rate levels, share valuation, and the price of a stock exchange listing all had an impact on whether a company chose to be privately owned or publicly traded. The reasons behind this are as follows.Small investors will not have access to the private advantages of control that private equity companies would enjoy after the acquisition. This is one of the reasons private equity firms have been willing to offer higher prices for shares than smaller investors have. Additionally, even a large investor who has access to private advantages of control is often willing to pay more for shares than are small investors. This is why going public used to be less appealing than selling the company to a private equity firm or an industrial company.

Many unlisted companies did not need to issue their shares to the public in the early 2000s due to strong business profitability and easy access to low-cost funding. Listed companies often sent money back to shareholders in the form of dividends and share buybacks. Businesses could favour debt in situations when the cost is minimal. High gearing also improves return on equity and serves as a takeover protection. Early in the millennium, it was very simple to get low-cost loans although during the financial crisis that started in 2007, the collapse of financial assets and the drying up of the interbank market made it almost difficult to obtain any kind of capital market financing. 4 The high share prices before to the crisis may have, in theory, boosted the number of initial public offerings IPOs as an exit strategy by allowing owners of unlisted companies such as private equity funds to sell their shares for high prices. Private equity groups and industrial purchasers, however, were often able to pay more, as was mentioned above. 5 Finally, many international firms are discouraged from going public in the US due to the high expense of complying with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the possibility of class lawsuits. Companies were also encouraged to pursue initial public offerings IPOs in their native markets due to the growing sophistication of such markets [6]–[8].

II. DISCUSSION

Legal Aspects of Equity Provided by Shareholders

Depending on the business form of the company, there are several types of shareholder’s shareholders, members, and partners, and the legal features of equity capital contributed by shareholders also heavily rely on the enterprise form. Regardless of the firm’s business type, certain legal concerns are universal generic and distinctive of such equity. Many of these problems have been roughly answered in terms of public limited liability businesses by legislative frameworks established by Community institutions. Following a general discussion of the legal characteristics of shares in a legal entity, the legal characteristics of shareholder’s equity in four different types of legal entities partnerships, limited partnerships, private limited liability companies, and listed public limited liability companies. Shareholder rights are attached to them. To begin with, the legislation that governs the legal entity has an impact on the relationships legal status between it and its shareholders. It is often viewed as a contract in partnerships. In a limited liability corporation, regulations of company law and the bylaws of the firm will in any event control the rights of shareholders. Different perspectives on whether that legal framework could be supplemented by legal background principles relevant to contracts in general may affect whether that connection is recognized as contractual.

Shareholder rights are supported by broad BGB principles and based on business law regulations. It would be neither necessary nor appropriate to see the connection between shareholders and the corporation, or between shareholders individually, as a contractual one since that legal framework is complete and there is little opportunity

for the application of rules of contract law. According to English law, a corporation and its members, as well as its members individually, are parties to a contractual relationship. But since it is simply a statutory contract, not all company law remedies may be available to the parties to that fictitious contract. Investors may have three different types of rights attached to their shares, regardless of the legal status of that relationship: economic rights, governance rights, and information rights. These rights may cross across. Economic rights may include a a claim on earnings that the business pays out to its owners or b a claim on a portion of the assets that remain after all obligations have been paid after the corporations liquidation. Governance rights include the limited or unrestricted ability to make decisions regarding fundamental issues such as the entitys status as a legal person, the content of its articles of association or other governing documents, and structural changes, capital and ownership structure such as the issuance of new shares, management issues such as the choice of managers and decisions regarding management issues generally, and the use of remedies available to owners.

The obligations of corporate representatives to reveal information to the public such as the responsibility to publish financial information, shareholders generally, or a specific shareholder complement the information rights granted to shareowners. The goal of shareholder’s information rights is to assist them in making choices based on relevant information for more information on the value of information, and in keeping track of the performance of their stakes and the companys management. The firm’s interests are served by managing the distribution of shareholder rights since such rights have several effects on the company. The company may control how much power is distributed inside the company by regulating the governance rights of shareholders. It may also control the perceived risk exposure of shareholders and the risk involved with agency, when the company acts as the principal and shareholders as the agents.

The price that the company must pay for using the money contributed by shareholders will vary depending on how exposed shareholders believe they are to risk. Shareholders perception of risk could be diminished if they have a vote in how the company is run. This might lower the firms cost of equity capital. The danger of poor management choices may also be reduced by the company. To do this, decision management and decision control should be separated. For instance, shareholders may be granted nomination rights, veto power over significant transactions, and other privileges while having their initiating rights constrained. The company may utilize financial incentives to reduce the agency issue brought on by the enormous formal and de facto governance powers that controlling shareholders have, which they can employ for the companys good or their personal gain. Controlling shareholders, for instance, might be granted unlimited responsibility for the corporation’s debts, liability for losses in the case of bankruptcy, or liability for any losses or damages the business suffers as a result of their conduct.

This is often accomplished by the legal entitys selection of its business structure, through clauses in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or through contractual agreements. The management of shareholder’s information rights and the entitys or its representative’s obligations to reveal information to the public, to shareholders generally, or to specific shareholders are both included in the management of incoming information. The company may have a stake in disclosure. By providing shareholders with knowledge, a company may be able to lower their perception of risk and equity costs. In order to reduce agency risks, particularly the risk of poor management choices, disclosure may also be utilized as a monitoring tool. However, the firm’s interests are not always served by transparency. By selecting a business structure such as a partnership versus a limited liability company and share ownership structure such as a privately owned limited liability company versus a publicly traded company, or a publicly traded company with public disclosure obligations as a major shareholder, the company can control the obligation to disclose financial information to the public.

Such decisions will also affect the need to privately disclose information to shareholders. Where a shareholder is personally accountable for the liabilities of the company such as in partnerships, the shareholder often has unrestricted information rights. However, with limited liability businesses, there is a greater chance that shareholders would misuse the information provided to them or divulge it to other parties at the expense of the business. This risk can be reduced by restricting their access to information generally,145 by limiting their ability to make selective private disclosures, and by making sure that strong non-disclosure agreements and penalties for violating confidentiality agreements are in place before making any limited disclosures. Raising and lowering equity may not be in the best interests of current owners. Their current authority and profit-sharing portion may be diminished by the issuance of additional shares used to raise new equity. In the worst situation, reducing equity via buybacks, redemptions, withdrawals, or other measures may result in a shareholder being fired from the company or losing the value of his investment.

Shareholders may be safeguarded against these risks in a variety of ways depending on the companys choice of business structure. a Because participants in a partnership have limitless responsibility for the firm's debts, knowing who the other partners are is crucial. The partners may decide that any modification calls for a written agreement between each partner. If private limited liability firms resemble partnerships, they often use similar concepts. Important management decisions often are governed by the shareholders via a shareholder's agreement, the articles of association, or both. The western European EU model and the US model have fundamentally different approaches to protecting shareholders in listed or publicly traded limited liability corporations. Members are safeguarded somewhat differently in a sizable cooperative. Each membership is uniform. Every member of the cooperative has an equal share, each share is modest, and members are expected to get the majority of the benefits from using the co-operatives services. They will seek redemption for cash in order to leave the cooperative.

Since every membership is uniform, management may be allowed considerable latitude in deciding whether to admit new members and when to terminate membership. Managers may be given the freedom to choose shares in a variety of ways depending on the company type they choose. a Due to their personal limitless responsibility, participants in small partnerships are required to run the business in reality. There isnt a different management class that could make decisions on the shares of partners. In reality, a management course could be required in a large partnership, like a sizable legal company. The partners may delegate authority to decide on shares to a management body. a In a limited liability company, the statutory boards discretion is based on a variety of decisions regarding shares and how power is allocated within the firm.

Limited-liability Company shares may be freely transferable, but there may also be shares whose transferability is restricted. a Generally speaking, the conditions under which a limited liability company may obtain equity can be affected by the management of the transferability of shares. The company may gain from the shares unrestricted transferability. There may be more shareholders and a market for shares if shares are freely transferable. Free transferability and increased liquidity may lower the perceived risk of investors, raise share prices, and lower the firm's equity expenses. Securities must be readily transferrable in order to be accepted for trading on a regulated market. On the other hand, anyone can purchase shares if they are freely transferable. As a takeover defense, the company may impose restrictions on the transferability of shares. In those limited liability corporations that mimic partnerships, the transferability of shares is often restricted. For instance, certain corporation's bylaws may stipulate that shares cannot be transferred without the board's approval. Large-scale share transactions may also lower stock prices. The same outcome may result from a fear of large-scale sales. The company may restrict the selling of shares as a result. Lock-up provisions, for instance, are typical in IPOs.

Shares in Partnerships

A partnership is a sort of commercial arrangement in which the partners split any gains or losses from the venture in which they have all invested. The partnership was referred to as a societas in Roman law. Nowadays, a partnership is often an agreement between two or more people who concur to carry out a commercial venture, contribute to it by pooling resources, skills, or activities, and participate in its rewards. However, partnerships may also be employed in joint ventures and short-term initiatives. Family companies make up the majority of partnerships. The broad or unlimited partnership is the most fundamental kind of partnership. The most fundamental kind of partnership has limitless responsibility for all of its debts as one of its defining features. The firm is typically run by all partners. A partnership is either recognized as a distinct legal entity or is not, depending on the prevailing legislation.

Shares in Limited Partnerships

The limited partnershipand the limited liability partnership (LLP) are two more types of partnerships. Limited partnerships were recognised in both mediaeval Italy commenda and Roman law.In continental Europe, limited partnerships are among the most often used business structures. Because English business practices tended to lag behind those of nations in continental Europe in terms of book-keeping, they did not become as popular in England. There are several types of businesses that may employ limited partnerships. a Investment funds often utilise limited partnerships for both legal it may pass through income from investments to fund investors see also and tax it is frequently transparent or pass-through for tax purposes grounds. For their investment funds, private equity companies nearly often combine general and limited partners.

The majority of the limited partners give the remaining equity capital for the limited partnership, with the general partner who administers the limited partnership typically contributing 1% to 3%. The latter often takes their time paying. Instead, after a suitable acquisition target has been identified, the limited partners agree to pay when requested to do so Committed Capital.162 b Limited partnerships are especially helpful in labour-capital partnerships, which are arrangements in which one or more financiers choose to provide funds or resources while the other partner does the real job. In such cases, the financial investor may use it as an incentive to reduce agency issues, while the general partner may use it to communicate the quality of the investment. c Despite this, family companies make up the majority of limited partnerships.

The rights and duties of partners with unlimited liability general or unlimited partners are usually the same as those of partners in a general or unlimited partnership like the OHG. Limited partners, however, also have restricted rights in addition to limited responsibility. In the partnership agreement, the partners may define their rights. For instance, they could agree on how profit and loss are allocated. The level of managements flexibility in raising equity via the issuance of shares and in lowering equity. General partners oversee a limited partnership. Typically, a limited partner is not permitted to participate in management. The partnership agreement may specify the maximum amount of additional equity that the business may raise. The return of the capital investment of limited partners may be subject to regulatory restrictions even if the limited partnership is a flexible corporate structure. The capacity to transfer shares. Limited partnership shares cannot be freely transferred. Unless the partners have decided differently, a limited partner may not transfer his interest without the approval of the general partners.

Limited-liability Companies

The restricted responsibility of each shareholder in a limited liability corporation might potentially lead to more friction between the business and its shareholders. Both the connection between the company and its controlling and non-controlling shareholders should be managed by the company. The company could make an effort to more closely align its interests with those of the dominant shareholder. For instance, the controlling shareholder might offer a security or take on a contractual duty to support the companys commitments [9]–[11].

III. CONCLUSION

In the commercial sector, strategic decisions are vital because they help companies compete successfully, evolve with the market, and accomplish their long-term goals. A thorough awareness of the corporate environment, proactive decision-making, and meticulous analysis are all necessary for making well-informed strategic decisions. A crucial strategic decision is market positioning, which entails deciding how a company wants to be viewed by its target market. It takes into account elements like the value proposition, brand positioning, and price strategy. Businesses may stand out from rivals and draw in the target consumer base by adopting a strategic stance in the market.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Arora, Driving excellence in credit risk management practices in commercial lending - An empirical analysis of Indian public sector banks, Int. J. Bus. Contin. Risk Manag., 2021, doi: 10.1504/IJBCRM.2021.113946.

[2] A. B. Cunningham, W. Ingram, W. Kadati, and I. M. Maduarta, Opportunities, barriers and support needs: micro-enterprise and small enterprise development based on non-timber products in eastern Indonesia, Aust. For., 2017, doi: 10.1080/00049158.2017.1329614.

[3] E. Kaneberg, Managing commercial actors in strategic networks in emergency preparedness, J. Humanit. Logist. Supply Chain Manag., 2018, doi: 10.1108/jhlscm-05-2017-0018.

[4] Y. Yamaguchi, Y. Shimoda, and M. Mizuno, Transition to a sustainable urban energy system from a long-term perspective: Case study in a Japanese business district, Energy Build., 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.03.031.

[5] J. Jönsson and T. Huzzard, Responding to funding scarcity: governance challenges in Swedish and South African development partnerships, J. Account. Organ. Chang., 2021, doi: 10.1108/JAOC-09-2020-0135.

[6] N. Koval, T. Pilyavoz, and L. Glushchenko, Innovative Tools Of The Banking Sphere Based On Benchmarking, Odessa Natl. Univ. Herald. Econ., 2021, doi: 10.32782/2304-0920/5-90-16.

[7] A. Y. Nikulina and M. N. Kruk, Organizational and economic mechanism of oil and gas projects in the Russian arctic shelf, J. Internet Bank. Commer., 2016.

[8] P. Caratti, H. Dalkmann, and R. Jiliberto, Analysing Strategic Environmental Assessment. 2013. doi: 10.4337/9781845421533.

[9] I. Sánchez-Díaz, Modeling urban freight generation: A study of commercial establishments freight needs, Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract., 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2016.06.035.

[10] E. Kaneberg, Managing commercial actors in strategic networks in emergency preparedness: A study of multiple

networks from Sweden, J. Humanit. Logist. Supply Chain Manag., 2018, doi: 10.1108/JHLSCM-05-2017-0018.

[11] H. Kopnina, Animal Cards, supermarket stunts, and the World Wide Fund for Nature: Exploring the educational value of a business-environmental non-governmental organization partnership for sustainable consumption, J. Consum. Cult., 2016, doi: 10.1177/1469540514556170.